



Business Update

March 2010

Forward-Looking Statements

Statements contained in this presentation about future performance, including, without limitation, earnings, asset and rate base growth, load growth, capital investments, and other statements that are not purely historical, are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations; however, such statements involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from current expectations. These forward-looking statements represent our expectations only as of the date of this presentation, and Edison International assumes no duty to update them to reflect new information, events or circumstances. Important factors that could cause different results are discussed under the headings "Risk Factors," and "Management's Discussion and Analysis" in Edison International's 2009 Form 10-K, and other reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which are available on our website: www.edisoninvestor.com. These filings also provide additional information on historical and other factual data contained in this presentation.

Delivering Superior and Sustained Value

Edison International

- A diversified and flexible platform best positions EIX in an industry undergoing unprecedented change
- Leverage regulated and competitive businesses
- Positioned for long-term earnings and dividend growth

Southern California Edison

- Balance electric reliability, rates and public policy needs to assure long-term sustainable growth
- Focus on grid reliability and transmission investments
- Establish foundation for technology investments
- Decoupled regulatory model mitigates demand and fuel cost risks

Edison Mission Group

- Diversify generation platform by growing renewables business
- Create value by resolving environmental compliance issues
- Effectively manage merchant coal margins

Our key operating principles emphasize financial discipline, superior execution and innovative solutions to the challenges of today and tomorrow

Full-Year Earnings Summary


Reconciliation of Core EPS to Basic EPS

<u>Core EPS</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>Variance</u>
SCE	\$2.25	\$2.68	\$0.43
EMG ²	1.72	0.68	(1.04)
EIX parent company and other ³	(0.13)	(0.11)	0.02
Core EPS¹	\$3.84	\$3.25	(\$0.59)
<u>Non-Core Items</u>			
SCE	(\$0.15)	\$1.08	\$1.23
EMG	—	(1.89)	(1.89)
EIX parent company and other	—	0.15	0.15
Total Non-Core	(\$0.15)	(\$0.66)	(\$0.51)
Basic EPS	\$3.69	\$2.59	(\$1.10)
Diluted EPS	\$3.68	\$2.58	(\$1.10)


Earnings (\$ millions)

EPS

Core¹



Basic



¹ See Earnings Non-GAAP Reconciliations and Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix.

² Includes FAS 133 gains of \$0.03 for 2008 and \$0.11 for 2009.

³ The impact of participating securities is included in EIX parent company and other and was \$(0.01) per share for the year-ended December 31, 2009, and \$(0.05) per share for the year-ended December 31, 2008.

2010 Earnings Guidance

Reconciliation of Core Earnings Per Share to Basic Earnings Per Share Guidance¹

	2009 Results	2010 Earnings Guidance as of 3/01/10		
		Low	Mid	High
EIX core earnings per share	\$3.25	\$3.15	\$3.30	\$3.45
Non-core items	(0.66)	--	--	--
EIX basic earnings per share	\$2.59	\$3.15	\$3.30	\$3.45
Actual/Midpoint of guidance by key business element				
SCE	\$2.68		\$2.80	
EMG	0.68		0.62	
EIX parent company and other ¹	(0.11)		(0.12)	
Total	\$3.25		\$3.30	

Assumptions

Southern California Edison

- 2010 average rate base \$16.2 billion
- 2009 approved capital structure
 - 48% Equity, 11.50% ROE
- Energy efficiency earnings of \$0.05 per share included

Edison Mission Group

- Forward hedge position and prices as of 1/31/10
- EMMT pre-tax trading margin of \$60-\$110 million
- March Point distribution of \$0.03 in Q1 2010
- Continuation of Edison Capital's non-growth strategy


Other

- Normal operating and weather conditions
- No changes in GAAP accounting
- Excludes discontinued operations and other non-core items

¹ See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix. The actual impact in 2009, and expected impact in 2010, of participating securities is \$(0.01) per share and is included in EIX parent company and other.

2010 Core Guidance - Midpoint


Reconciliation of Core Earnings Per Share Guidance – 2009 Results to 2010




¹ See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix and 2010 Earnings Guidance for reconciliation of core earnings per share guidance to basic earnings per share guidance.

2010 Forward Curves

Balance of Year (Feb – Dec)



1/31/2010	
On-peak	\$53.51
Off-peak	\$37.57
Flat	\$45.05



1/31/2010	
On-peak	\$41.09
Off-peak	\$23.70
Flat	\$31.86

Source: Broker quotes

Southern California Edison (SCE)




SCE Full-Year Highlights

EPS	2008	2009	Variance	Key Core Earnings Drivers	
Core ¹	\$2.25	\$2.68	\$0.43	Rate base growth	\$0.39
				Impact of lower financings	0.14
Non-Core Items	(0.15)	1.08	1.23	2008 depreciation adjustment	0.05
				2008 ballot initiative/2009 generator refunds	0.05
Basic EPS attributable to SCE¹	\$2.10	\$3.76	\$1.66	Other	0.05
				Income taxes	<u>(0.25)</u>
				Total	\$0.43

¹ See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix and Full-Year Earnings Summary slide for reconciliation of core earnings per share to basic earnings per share.

SCE Capital Investment Forecast

\$ Billions



2010-2014 Base Case Forecast By Classification

	\$	%
Solar Rooftop Program	1.0	4
Edison SmartConnect™	1.1	5
Generation	3.0	14
Transmission	5.5	26
Distribution	10.9	51
Total	21.5	100

By Proceeding

	%
2009 CPUC Rate Case	22
2012 CPUC Rate Case	41
Other CPUC	11
FERC Rate Cases	26
Total	100

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2010-14 Total
Base Case ¹	\$2.9	\$4.0	\$4.4	\$4.6	\$4.3	\$4.2	\$21.5
Low Case ²		\$3.3	\$3.7	\$3.9	\$3.6	\$3.5	\$18.0

¹ Subject to timely receipt of permitting, licensing and regulatory approvals. Forecast as of February 2010.


² Low Case reflects a 16.5% potential variability to project investment levels related to execution risk, scope change, delays, regulatory constraints and other contingencies.

SCE Rate Base Forecast



¹ Forecast as of February 2010 and includes: (1) 2009 CPUC GRC & FERC Decisions; (2) currently forecasted 2010-2014 FERC and 2012-2014 CPUC rate base, subject to timely receipt of permitting, licensing and regulatory approvals; (3) FERC construction work in progress forecast; (4) estimated impact of accelerated depreciation of the Economic Stimulus Act of 2009; and (5) CPUC approved solar rooftop program.

SCE Transmission Investment Program



* New substations are filled


Project Name	Phase	In-Service	2010 - 2014 ¹ (\$ Millions)
<u>Renewables</u>			
Tehachapi Segment 3-11 ²	Complete/Construction	2009 - 2015	\$1,742
Devers-Colorado River Project ³	Licensing	2013	658
Eldorado-Ivanpah ⁴	Licensing	2013	469
Other Projects ⁵	Various	Various	794
Total Renewables			\$3,663
<u>Reliability</u>			
San Joaquin Valley Loop ⁶	Licensing	2012	128
Alberhill ⁷	Licensing	2014	171
Other Projects ⁵	Various	Various	1,581
Total Reliability			\$1,880
Grand Total			\$5,543

Transmission investment needed to strengthen system reliability and increase access to renewable energy


- 1 Subject to timely receipt of permitting, licensing and regulatory approvals. Forecast as of February 2010 and is based on the Base Case (see SCE Capital Investment Forecast).
- 2 A portion of Segment 3 was ready for service in 2009. The remainder is under construction and will be placed in service under a phased approach from 2011 through 2013.
- 3 Devers-Colorado River project was formerly described as California portion of the DPV2 project.
- 4 CPCN Filing submitted in May 2009 with decision expected in 2011.
- 5 "Other Projects" include new transmission projects to connect renewable generation and projects related to reliability, load growth, infrastructure replacement and grid monitoring and control.
- 6 CPCN Filing submitted in May 2008 with decision expected in 2010.
- 7 Permit to Construct (PTC) Filing submitted in September 2009.

SCE Renewable Energy Goals

2009 Est. Renewable Resources
13.6 Billion kWh
 ~ 17% of SCE's portfolio



Renewable Resources
2009-2010 (Billion kWh)



SCE 2008 Renewable Energy Program


- 16% of SCE's portfolio
- 10% of all U.S. renewable electrons
- Over 65% of U.S. solar energy
- Over half of U.S. geothermal energy

SCE 2010 Renewable Energy Goal

- Contracts are in place to meet 20% of customers' energy requirements with renewable resources, but a portion of energy delivery may be delayed in 2010 due to transmission constraints


Sources: Energy Information Administration, SCE

The Traditional Electric System is Changing



Historical Grid Technology Advances:

- Improved heat-rate efficiency for steam-generated power
- Increased voltages of transmission
- Grid control and communications



Future Grid Technology Advances:

- Artificial intelligence – quick response to unstable conditions
- Grid management systems mitigate intermittent and unpredictable renewable resources
- Integration of intermittent renewables, distributed generation and storage
- Robust customer usage information
- Sends price signals that better match costs
- Manages aggregate customer load
- Optimizes load profile
- Improves generation and procurement
- Support for potential electric transportation growth

For the last one hundred years, the basic design of the electric system has been unchanged. SCE is taking steps to develop and integrate new resources and advanced technologies such as a proposed \$54 million Tehachapi Wind Energy Storage Project to evaluate utility scale lithium-ion battery technology and implementing smart metering technology across its service territory.

SCE Regulatory Key Events

	Case Number	Date of Filing	Status	Next Milestone
2010 FERC Rate Case	ER09-1534	07/31/09	On September 30, 2009, FERC accepted SCE's proposed 2010 base transmission rates, subject to refund.	Proposed rates will take effect, subject to refund and settlement procedures, on March 1, 2010.
Tehachapi Transmission	A. 07-06-031 (Seg. 4 - 11)	06/28/07	In December 2009, Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) granted by CPUC. (Decision 09-12-044).	Record of Decision from U.S. Forest Service expected by Q4 2010.
DCR Transmission¹	A. 05-04-015	04/11/05	In November 2009, the CPUC approved construction of the project subject to CAISO approval.	CAISO approval is expected in Q4 2010. Record of Decision expected from Bureau of Land Management in Q4 2010.
Eldorado-Ivanpah Transmission	A. 09-05-027	05/28/09	In December 2009, the FERC granted conditional approval of incentives which included a 100 bp ROE project adder, a 50 bp incentive for CAISO participation, recovery of the ROE and incentive adders during the CWIP phase and recovery of abandoned plant costs (if any). FERC approval is conditioned upon CAISO approval and a finding that the project ensures reliability or reduces the cost of delivered power.	Draft EIR expected from CPUC in Q2 2010. FERC's final approval of the incentives request is expected later this year.
Alberhill	A. 09-09-022	09/30/09	Filed Permit to Construct (PTC) in September 2009. On December 16, 2009, the CAISO board approved the project.	Draft EIR expected from CPUC in Q4 2010.

¹ Devers-Colorado River (DCR) transmission project, formerly described as the California portion of the DPV2 project

Edison Mission Group (EMG)



EMG Full-Year Highlights

EPS	2008	2009	Variance	Key Core Earnings Drivers	
Core ¹	\$1.72	\$0.68	(\$1.04)	Merchant coal ²	(\$0.68)
				Renewable energy projects	—
Non-Core Items	—	(1.89)	(1.89)	Natural gas & other projects	(0.06)
				EMMT ³ - Trading	(0.19)
Basic EPS				Corporate expense and other items	—
attributable to EMG¹	\$1.72	(\$1.21)	(\$2.93)	Edison Capital	<u>(0.11)</u>
				Total	(\$1.04)


¹ See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix and Full-Year Earnings Summary slide for reconciliation of core earnings per share to basic earnings per share.

² Includes FAS 133 gains of \$0.04 for Q4 08 and \$0.03 for Q4 09.

³ EMMT overhead is included in corporate expense and other items.

EMG Business Platform

Status at January 31, 2010



- Projects in operation and/or under construction
- Projects in development only

Operating Platform¹

	<u>MW</u>	<u>%</u>
Coal	7,395	73
Natural Gas	1,339	13
Wind	1,185	12
Other	153	2
Total	10,072	100

Wind Development Pipeline²

Pipeline	~4,000
Pending and/or Under Construction	600

Thermal Pipeline³

Natural Gas	479
-------------	-----

- 1 Natural gas includes oil-fired; other includes Doga in Turkey (144 MW) and Huntington biomass (9 MW) which are not shown.
- 2 Owned or under exclusive agreement.
- 3 Deliveries under the power sales agreement are expected to commence in 2013. Construction will not begin until the legal challenges to Priority Reserve emission credits resolved or another source of credits for project identified.

EMG Coal-Fired Fleet

Midwest Generation (Illinois)

- 5,471 MW – Six mid-merit facilities
- Powder River Basin (PRB) coal
- Rail under contract through 2011



Operational Statistics	Q4 08	Q4 09	2008	2009
Total Generation (GWh)	7,484	8,588	31,100	30,310
Forced Outage Rate	6.3%	5.4%	8.3%	5.8%
Capacity Factor	62.0%	71.2%	64.8%	63.3%
Equivalent Availability	81.5%	89.8%	81.0%	85.3%

Homer City (Pennsylvania)

- 1,884 MW – Three base-load units
- Northern Appalachian (NAPP) coal
- Coal largely sourced locally and delivered by truck

Operational Statistics	Q4 08	Q4 09	2008	2009
Total Generation (GWh)	2,538	2,769	11,334	11,446
Forced Outage Rate	14.6%	14.8%	9.8%	9.4%
Capacity Factor	60.7%	66.4%	68.3%	69.2%
Equivalent Availability	80.1%	78.6%	80.7%	84.7%

All-in Average Realized Prices^{1,3}



■ Average realized gross margin (\$/MWh)²
■ Average realized fuel cost (\$/MWh)³

1 Includes the price of energy, capacity, ancillary services, etc.

2 Average realized gross margin is equal to all-in average realized price less average fuel and emission costs.

3 See Other Non-GAAP Reconciliations and Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix.

EMG Hedge Program Status

Status at December 31, 2009

	2010	2011	2012
<u>Midwest Generation</u> ^{1,2,4}			
Total estimated GWh hedged (Northern Illinois & AEP/Dayton Hubs)	19,717	1,428	—
Average price (\$/MWh)	\$42.66	\$59.64	\$—
Coal under contract (in millions of tons)	17.3	9.8	9.8
<u>Homer City</u> ^{3,4}			
Total estimated GWh hedged (Northern Illinois & AEP/Dayton Hubs)	3,673	29	—
Average price (\$/MWh)	\$79.25	\$54.47	\$—
Coal under contract (in millions of tons)	4.6	2.3	1.2

- 1 As of December 31, 2009, EMMT has entered into 3.3 billion cubic feet of natural gas futures contracts (equivalent to approximately 557 GWh of energy-only contracts using a ratio of 6 MMBtu to 1 MWh) for the Midwest Generation plants to economically hedge energy price risks during 2010 at an average price of \$38.40/MWh.
- 2 In January and February 2010, Midwest Generation entered into additional contractual agreements for the purchase of coal of 1 million tons for 2010 and 2 million tons for 2011.
- 3 In January 2010, Homer City exercised options under existing contractual agreements for the purchase of coal of 0.3 million tons for 2011, 0.5 million tons for 2012 and 0.5 million tons for 2013. In February 2010, Homer City entered into additional contractual agreements for the purchase of 0.4 million tons for 2011.
- 4 The amount of coal under contract in tons is calculated based on contracted tons and applying an 8,800 Btu equivalent for the Midwest Generation plants and a 13,000 Btu equivalent for the Homer City facilities.

EMG Capacity Sales

Status at December 31, 2009


	Installed Capacity	Unsold Capacity ¹	Capacity Sold	RPM Capacity Sold in Base Residual Auction		Other Capacity Sales, Net of Purchases ²		Aggregate Average Price
	MW	MW	MW	MW	Price per MW-day ¹	MW	Average Price per MW-day	per MW-day
January 1, 2010 to May 31, 2010								
Midwest Generation	5,776	(878)	4,898	5,329	\$102.04	(431)	\$99.23	\$102.29
Homer City	1,884	(206)	1,678	1,670	\$191.32	8	\$191.32	\$191.32
June 1, 2010 to May 31, 2011								
Midwest Generation	5,477	(548)	4,929	4,929	\$174.29	—	—	\$174.29
Homer City	1,884	(71)	1,813	1,813	\$174.29	—	—	\$174.29
June 1, 2011 to May 31, 2012								
Midwest Generation	5,477	(495)	4,982	4,582	\$110.00	400	\$85.00	\$107.99
Homer City	1,884	(113)	1,771	1,771	\$110.00	—	—	\$110.00
June 1, 2012 to May 31, 2013								
Midwest Generation	5,477	(773)	4,704	4,704	\$16.46	—	—	\$16.46
Homer City	1,884	(148)	1,736	1,736	\$133.37	—	—	\$133.37

1 Capacity not sold arises from: (i) capacity retained to meet forced outages under the RPM auction guidelines, and (ii) capacity that PJM does not purchase at the clearing price resulting from the auction.

2 Other capacity sales and purchases, net includes contracts executed in advance of the RPM base residual auction to hedge the price risk related to such auction, participation in RPM incremental auctions, and other capacity transactions entered into to manage capacity risks.

EMG – Illinois Compliance Agreement Timeline

Compliance Deadlines and Estimated Construction Timelines¹



¹ Simplified summary of key compliance deadlines and estimated construction timeline. No decision has been made on SO₂ or mercury compliance approach and estimated capital costs. Unit-specific deadlines as of December 31 of the calendar year shown. SO₂ unit-specific deadlines apply to Unit 19 at Fisk, Units 7 and 8 at Joliet, Units 5 and 6 at Powerton and Units 3 and 4 at Will County.

EMG Wind Energy Business

Portfolio & Development Pipeline¹

- 24 projects in service (1,185 MW)
- 4 projects under/pending construction (600 MW)
- 31 projects in development pipeline (4,000 MW)

Wind Turbine Commitments/Inventory¹

- 512 MW at December 31, 2009
- 210 MW allocated to Taloga and Laredo Ridge projects in Q1 2010
- 302 MW remain available for new projects

Recent Activities¹

- 130 MW Taloga project (OK) placed in construction; entered into long-term PPA with Oklahoma Gas & Electric
- 80 MW Laredo Ridge project (NE) pending start of construction; power sales contract signed with Nebraska Power Authority

Estimated Capital Expenditures to Complete Wind Projects at December 31, 2009 (\$ millions)

	MW	Prior Spend	Investment to Complete	Total
Projects pending and/or under construction ²	600	\$607	\$746	\$1,353
Turbine commitments/inventory ³	302	122	378	500
Total	902	\$729	\$1,124	\$1,853

EMG's renewable wind projects will generate significant cash tax benefits from the 30% investment tax credit, grants or production tax credits plus accelerated depreciation

¹ Data as of February 15, 2010. Projects reflect EMG ownership share. Development pipeline includes projects owned or under exclusive agreements.

² Includes Taloga and Laredo Ridge added to projects under construction and pending construction after December 31, 2009.

³ Amounts exclude balance of plant costs for 302 MW available for new projects at February 15, 2010, which would be an additional \$225 to \$350 million based on typical project costs. Actual construction costs depend on site selection, permitting and other location specific factors.

EMG Capital Expenditures

Status at December 31, 2009


(\$ in Millions)	2009	2010	2011	2012
MWG				
Plant capital expenditures	\$54	\$72	\$79	\$10
Environmental expenditures ¹	24	98	70	—
Homer City				
Plant capital expenditures	19	31	52	24
Environmental expenditures	7	5	3	22
Renewable Projects				
Capital & construction expenditures ^{2,4}	171	746	—	—
Turbine commitments ^{3,4}	265	357	22	—
Other capital expenditures	8	20	17	9
Total	\$548	\$1,329	\$243	\$65

- 1 Environmental expenditures include primarily expenditures related to SNCR equipment. Additional expenditures are anticipated; however, the amounts and timing have not been determined.
- 2 Includes Taloga and Laredo Ridge added to projects under construction and pending construction after December 31, 2009 and \$206 million in turbine purchases for 2010 where financing has been arranged.
- 3 Turbine commitments related to the Taloga and Laredo Ridge wind projects totaling \$106 million are excluded from turbine commitments and included in capital and construction expenditures in 2010. 2010 commitments include amounts related to escalation adjustment sought pursuant to one of the turbine supply agreements. These amounts are subject to dispute. Amounts exclude balance of project costs for 302 MW available for new projects, which would be an additional \$225 million to \$350 million based on typical project costs.
- 4 For further discussion of EMG's capital expenditures and turbine commitments, see the MD&A "Liquidity and Capital Resources" section of the 2009 EME 10-K.

Edison Mission Marketing & Trading (EMMT)

- Markets energy and capacity of merchant generation fleet
- Manages gross margins by hedging power-related risks such as forward electricity prices
 - Typically a rolling 12 to 24 month hedging program
 - Target approximately 50% of gross margin for prompt year with lesser percentages hedged in out years
- Proprietary trading in markets where it is active in merchant generation
 - Primarily transmission-related transactions
 - Largely in eastern markets
- Controls on types and sizes of exposures
 - VaR; EaR; stress and scenario testing; volumetric, duration, and credit limits

EMMT Trading Revenue (pre-tax)



Appendix

Full-Year Earnings Summary

Reconciliation of Core EPS to Basic EPS

<u>Core EPS</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>Variance</u>
SCE	\$2.25	\$2.68	\$0.43
EMG ^{3,4}	1.72	0.68	(1.04)
EIX parent company and other ²	(0.13)	(0.11)	0.02
Core EPS¹	\$3.84	\$3.25	(\$0.59)
<u>Non-Core Items</u>			
SCE	(\$0.15)	\$1.08	\$1.23
EMG	—	(1.89)	(1.89)
EIX parent company and other	—	0.15	0.15
Total Non-Core	(\$0.15)	(\$0.66)	(\$0.51)
Basic EPS	\$3.69	\$2.59	(\$1.10)
Diluted EPS	\$3.68	\$2.58	(\$1.10)

Core EPS Variances

SCE

Higher operating income associated with the CPUC and FERC GRC decisions, partially offset by higher income taxes. Favorable impact also from lower than planned financings during the year, primarily from cash received for tax-related timing differences and other benefits.

0.43

EMG

Midwest Generation

Lower power prices, higher ACI and Annual Nox, favorable coal contract buy-out of \$0.03 in 2008, partially offset by higher capacity prices, lower plant costs and the 2008 Lehman hedge contract charge

(0.65)

Homer City

Lower power prices, partially offset by higher capacity and lower plant costs

(0.03)

Renewable energy projects

Higher revenue offset by higher operating costs

—

Natural gas and other projects

Lower natural gas prices affecting electricity and steam revenues of Big 4 Projects

(0.06)

EMMT - Trading

Lower trading income driven by lower congestion

(0.19)

Corporate expense and other items

Lower income taxes and development costs offset by the 2008 write-off of the Walnut Creek turbine deposit and higher interest expense

—

Edison Capital

Lower results from leveraged leases and global infrastructure funds, Q2 09 gain on sale of interest in MCV lease of \$0.06, gain on sale of interest in Beaver Valley lease of \$0.07, and cross border leases in 2009

(0.11)

Non-Core Variances

SCE

2009: \$0.94 for overall tax settlement, \$0.14 for Mountainview transfer
2008: \$(0.15) for performance-based ratemaking decision

1.23

EMG

2009: \$(0.02) for discontinued operations, \$(1.87) for overall tax settlement

(1.89)

1 See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix.

2 The impact of participating securities is included in EIX parent company and other and was \$(0.01) per share for the year-ended December 31, 2009, and \$(0.05) per share for the year-ended December 31, 2008.

3 EMMT overhead is included in corporate expense, interest and other items.

4 Includes FAS 133 gains of \$0.03 for 2008 and \$0.11 for 2009.

Liquidity and Debt Maturity Profiles

Status at December 31, 2009

<u>Liquidity Profile</u>	SCE	EME & MWG	Edison Capital & Other ¹	EIX parent co. & other
Credit Facility	\$2,894	\$1,100	\$—	\$1,426
Credit Facility (availability) ²	2,882	960	—	1,341
Cash & short-term investments ³	471	796	390	26
Available Liquidity	\$3,353	\$1,756	\$390	\$1,367

<u>Debt Profile</u>	2010	2011	2012	2013
<u>Short & Long-Term Debt Maturities</u>				
SCE	\$250	\$—	\$—	\$—
EMG	37	36	40	545
EIX	85	—	—	—
Edison Capital ⁴	90	—	—	—
<u>Expiration of Credit Facilities</u>				
SCE	\$500	\$—	\$—	\$2,394
EME	—	—	600	—
MWG	—	—	500	—
EIX	—	—	—	1,426

1 Edison Capital & other includes MEHC and other EMG subsidiaries.

2 Excludes the unused and/or unfunded commitments for subsidiaries of Lehman Brothers Holdings of \$36 million for EMG. In June 2009, SCE and EIX amended their credit facilities to remove a subsidiary of Lehman Brothers Holding as a lender.

3 SCE amounts include \$92 million held by SCE's consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) as of December 31, 2009.

4 Redeemed by Edison Capital in January 2010.

Global Tax Settlement Removes Uncertainty

Status at December 31, 2009

	SCE	Edison Capital	EIX parent co. & other ¹	EIX consolidated
Income Statement (\$ millions)²				
Overall global tax settlement impacts	\$306	(\$614)	\$54	(\$254)
Cash (\$ millions)³				
Net proceeds from termination of cross-border leases	—	\$1,385	—	\$1,385
Taxes settled through December 31, 2009	875	(1,069)	(149)	(343)
Estimated future net tax (payments)/receipts	(229)	(602)	189	(642)
Cash flow expected over time	\$646	(\$286)	\$40	\$400


1 Includes all other Edison International consolidated subsidiaries.

2 Includes Q4 09 non-core impact of \$5 million for SCE and \$14 million for Edison Capital from revised interest costs from the IRS.

3 See the "EIX: Liquidity & Capital Resources - Intercompany Tax-Allocation Agreement" section of the 2009 EIX 10-K for additional information concerning the overall tax settlement with the Internal Revenue Service.

SCE Cost of Capital Mechanism

Moody's Baa Utility Index - 12-month moving average and spot rate through 1/31/10¹



- In 2008, CPUC approved multi-year cost of capital mechanism through 2010 with authorized return on equity (ROE) at 11.5%
 - In 2009, the CPUC extended the mechanism through 2012
- Cost of Capital mechanism allows for indexed changes in SCE's authorized ROE:
 - Triggers based on 12-month average of Moody's Baa utility bond index, measured in September of each year
 - If index exceeds a 1% deadband from starting index value of 6.26%, ROE changes by half the difference
- As of January 2010, index is averaging 6.19%
 - At September 2010, index must exceed 7.26% or be below 5.26% to trigger ROE change
 - If not triggered, SCE's authorized ROE for 2011 will continue at 11.5%

¹ Spot rate is daily yield on Moody's Baa Utility Bond Index and the Measurement Period Average is the average of the monthly yields on the index beginning with the first month of the measurement period.

EMG Comparative Operating Statistics

	4Q 08	4Q 09	Variance	%	2008	2009	Variance	%
<u>Midwest Generation</u>								
<u>Generation (in GWh)</u>								
Energy Only contracts	6,606	8,588			26,010	28,977		
Load Requirement Services Contracts	878	—			5,090	1,333		
Total	7,484	8,588	1,104	15%	31,100	30,310	(790)	-3%
<u>Performance</u>								
Equivalent Availability Rate (EAF)	81.5%	89.8%	8.3%		81.0%	85.3%	4.3%	
Forced Outage Rate (EFOR)	6.3%	5.4%	-0.9%		8.3%	5.8%	-2.5%	
<u>Pricing Information</u>								
Average Realized Energy Price (\$/MWh)								
Energy Only Contracts	\$47.07	\$38.94	(\$8.13)	-17%	\$51.82	\$41.17	(\$10.65)	-21%
Load Requirement Services Contracts	\$62.60	—			\$62.64	\$62.52	(\$0.12)	—
Average Cost of Fuel (\$/MWh)	\$15.41	\$17.83	\$2.42	16%	\$15.49	\$18.54	\$3.05	20%
Flat Energy Price - Nihub (\$/MWh)	\$38.03	\$29.58	(\$8.45)	-22%	\$49.01	\$28.86	(\$20.15)	-41%
<u>Homer City</u>								
<u>Generation (in Gwh)</u>								
	2,538	2,769	231	9%	11,334	11,446	112	1%
<u>Performance</u>								
Equivalent Availability Rate (EAF)	80.1%	78.6%	-1.5%		80.7%	84.7%	4.0%	
Forced Outage Rate (EFOR)	14.6%	14.8%	0.2%		9.8%	9.4%	-0.4%	
<u>Pricing Information</u>								
Average Realized Energy Price (\$/MWh)								
	\$51.20	\$48.20	(\$3.00)	-6%	\$56.24	\$48.85	(\$7.39)	-13%
Average Cost of Fuel (\$/MWh)	\$24.52	\$21.41	(\$3.11)	-13%	\$23.35	\$21.89	(\$1.46)	-6%
Flat Energy Price - PJM West Hub (\$/MWh)	\$52.67	\$37.28	(\$15.39)	-29%	\$68.56	\$38.31	(\$30.25)	-44%
Flat Energy Price - HC Busbar (\$/MWh)	\$45.40	\$34.17	(\$11.23)	-25%	\$57.72	\$34.91	(\$22.81)	-40%
Flat Energy Price - Basis (PJM West Hub - HC Busbar)	\$7.27	\$3.11	(\$4.16)		\$10.84	\$3.40	(\$7.44)	

EMG – Adjusted EBITDA

Reconciliation to Earnings ¹ (\$ Millions)	Q4 08	Q4 09	2008	2009
Earnings (loss)	\$82	\$55	\$561	(\$395)
Addback (Deduct)				
Discontinued operations	—	2	—	7
Income (loss) from continuing operations	82	57	561	(388)
Interest expense	77	74	288	306
Interest income	(10)	(8)	(37)	(23)
Income taxes (benefits)	7	(21)	272	(284)
Depreciation and amortization	54	62	197	240
EBITDA²	\$210	\$164	\$1,281	(\$149)
Production tax credits ³	15	16	44	56
Addback:				
Gain on sale of assets/lease termination and global settlement	1	4	(49)	889
Write-off of turbine deposits	23	—	23	—
Adjusted EBITDA	\$249	\$184	\$1,299	\$796

1 Earnings refer to net income attributable to Edison Mission Group.

2 See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix for additional information on EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA.

3 Production tax credits (PTC) are based on cash anticipated to be received.

Earnings Non-GAAP Reconciliations

Reconciliation of EIX Core Earnings to EIX GAAP Earnings

Earnings (Loss) (in millions) Attributable to Edison International (Unaudited)	Quarter Ended December 31,		Year-Ended December 31,	
	2008	2009	2008	2009
Core Earnings ¹				
SCE	\$141	\$167	\$732	\$874
EMG	81	42	561	222
EIX parent company and other	(5)	(15)	(29)	(32)
EIX core earnings	\$217	\$194	\$1,264	\$1,064
Non-core items				
SCE - regulatory items	—	5	(49)	46
Global tax settlement:				
SCE	—	—	—	306
EMG	—	14	—	(610)
EIX parent company and other	—	—	—	50
EMG - discontinued operations	—	(1)	—	(7)
Total non-core items	—	\$18	(\$49)	(\$215)
EIX GAAP Earnings	\$217	\$212	\$1,215	\$849

¹ See Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures in Appendix.

Other Non-GAAP Reconciliations

Reconciliation of Midwest Generation and Homer City Operating Revenues and Fuel Costs to Realized Revenues and Realized Fuel Costs:

	Midwest Generation				Homer City			
	Q4 08	Q4 09	2008	2009	Q4 08	Q4 09	2008	2009
Generation (GWh)	7,484	8,588	31,100	30,310	2,538	2,769	11,334	11,446
Operating revenues	\$418	\$391	\$1,778	\$1,487	\$169	\$167	\$717	\$663
Less: Unrealized (gains) losses	(9)	(8)	6	(30)	(14)	(4)	(21)	(15)
Other revenues	(2)	(1)	(6)	(3)	(12)	—	(13)	—
Realized Revenues	\$407	\$382	\$1,778	\$1,454	\$143	\$163	\$683	\$648
All-in Average Realized Price/MWh	\$54.41	\$44.54	\$57.18	\$47.97	\$56.21	\$58.86	\$60.26	\$56.66
Fuel expenses	\$116	\$150	\$482	\$547	\$68	\$59	\$270	\$251
Less: Unrealized gains (losses)	—	3	—	15	—	—	—	—
Realized fuel expenses	\$116	\$153	\$482	\$562	\$68	\$59	\$270	\$251
Average realized fuel costs/MWh	\$15.41	\$17.83	\$15.49	\$18.54	\$24.52	\$21.41	\$23.35	\$21.89

Reconciliation of Midwest Generation and Homer City Operating Revenues to Segment Fuel Costs:

	Q4 08	Q4 09	2008	2009
Operating revenues				
Midwest Generation	\$418	\$391	\$1,778	\$1,487
Homer City	169	167	717	663
Wind projects	38	40	108	141
Other revenues	40	17	208	86
Segment revenues as reported	\$665	\$615	\$2,811	\$2,377
Fuel Costs				
Midwest Generation	\$116	\$150	\$482	\$547
Homer City	68	59	270	251
Other	(1)	—	(5)	(2)
Segment revenues as reported	\$183	\$209	\$747	\$796

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Edison International's earnings are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles used in the United States and represent the company's earnings as reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission. Our management uses core earnings and earnings per share (EPS) by principal operating subsidiary internally for financial planning and for analysis of performance. We also use core earnings and EPS by principal operating subsidiary when communicating with analysts and investors regarding our earnings results and outlook to facilitate comparisons of the Company's performance from period to period.

Core earnings is a non-GAAP financial measure and may not be comparable to those of other companies. Core earnings are defined as earnings attributable to common shareholders less income or loss from discontinued operations and income or loss from significant discrete items that management does not consider representative of ongoing earnings. EPS by principal operating subsidiary is based on the principal operating subsidiary net income attributable to the common shareholders of each operating subsidiary, respectively, and Edison International's weighted average outstanding common shares. The impact of participating securities (vested stock options that earn dividend equivalents that may participate in undistributed earnings with common stock) for each principal operating subsidiary is not material to each principal operating subsidiary's EPS and is therefore reflected in the results of the Edison International holding company, which we refer to as EIX parent company and other. EIX core EPS and core EPS by principal operating subsidiary are reconciled to basic EPS.

EBITDA is defined as earnings before interest, income taxes, depreciation and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA includes production tax credits from EMG's wind projects and excludes amounts from gain on the sale of assets, loss on early extinguishment of debt and leases, and impairment of assets and investments. Our management uses Adjusted EBITDA as an important financial measure for evaluating EMG.

The average realized energy price and average realized fuel cost is a non-GAAP performance measure since such statistical measures exclude unrealized gains or losses recorded as operating revenues and unrealized gains or losses recorded as fuel expenses. Management believes that the average realized energy price and average realized fuel cost is more meaningful for investors as it reflects the impact of hedge contracts at the time of actual generation in period-over-period comparisons or as compared to real-time market prices.

A reconciliation of Non-GAAP information to GAAP information, including the impact of participating securities, is included either on the slide where the information appears or on another slide referenced in this presentation.