

---

---

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2008

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 333-92047-03

---

**EME HOMER CITY GENERATION L.P.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Pennsylvania**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation  
or organization)

**33-0826938**

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**1750 Power Plant Road**

**Homer City, Pennsylvania**

(Address of principal executive offices)

**15748**

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(724) 479-9011**

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Number of shares outstanding of the registrant's ownership interests as of August 8, 2008: Not applicable.

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Glossary . . . . .	ii
<b>PART I – Financial Information</b>	
Item 1. Financial Statements . . . . .	1
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations . . . . .	15
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk . . . . .	34
Item 4T. Controls and Procedures . . . . .	34
<b>PART II – Other Information</b>	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings . . . . .	36
Item 1A. Risk Factors . . . . .	36
Item 6. Exhibits . . . . .	36
Signatures . . . . .	37

## GLOSSARY

When the following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report, they have the meanings indicated below.

Btu	British thermal units
CAIR	Clean Air Interstate Rule
CONE	cost of new entry
EME	Edison Mission Energy
EME Homer City	EME Homer City Generation L.P.
EMMT	Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc.
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FIN No. 39-1	Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position No. 39-1, "Amendment of FASB Interpretation No. 39"
Fitch	Fitch Ratings
FSP SFAS No. 142-3	Financial Accounting Standards Board Staff Position SFAS No. 142-3, "Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets"
GHG	greenhouse gas
GWh	gigawatt-hours
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
MMBtu	million British thermal units
Moody's	Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
MW	megawatts
MWh	megawatt-hours
NAPP	Northern Appalachian
NOV	Notice of Violation
NO <sub>x</sub>	nitrogen oxide
NYISO	New York Independent System Operator
NYSEG	New York State Electric & Gas Corporation
PADEP	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
Penelec	Pennsylvania Electric Company
PJM	PJM Interconnection, LLC
RPM	Reliability Pricing Model
S&P	Standard & Poor's Ratings Services
SFAS	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards issued by the FASB
SFAS No. 133	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"
SFAS No. 157	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements"
SFAS No. 161	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (an amendment of FASB No. 133)
SIP(s)	state implementation plan(s)
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

**PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**EME HOMER CITY GENERATION L.P.**  
**STATEMENTS OF INCOME (LOSS)**  
(In millions, Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
<b>Operating Revenues from Marketing Affiliate</b> .....	\$127	\$176	\$312	\$374
<b>Operating Expenses</b>				
Fuel .....	52	68	124	140
Plant operations .....	57	41	86	75
Depreciation and amortization .....	16	16	32	31
Administrative and general .....	1	1	2	2
Total operating expenses .....	126	126	244	248
Operating income .....	1	50	68	126
<b>Other Income (Expense)</b>				
Interest and other income .....	1	2	2	4
Interest expense .....	(32)	(36)	(64)	(72)
Total other expense .....	(31)	(34)	(62)	(68)
Income (loss) before income taxes .....	(30)	16	6	58
Provision (benefit) for income taxes .....	(12)	6	2	23
<b>Net Income (Loss)</b> .....	\$(18)	\$ 10	\$ 4	\$ 35

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**EME HOMER CITY GENERATION L.P.**  
**STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**  
(In millions, Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
<b>Net Income (Loss)</b> .....	\$ (18)	\$10	\$ 4	\$ 35
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualified as cash flow hedges:				
Other unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$(96) million and \$4 million for the three months and \$(134) million and \$(55) million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively .....	(134)	5	(187)	(75)
Reclassification adjustments included in net income (loss), net of income tax benefit of \$38 million and \$6 million for the three months and \$35 million and \$15 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively .....	54	8	48	21
Other comprehensive income (loss) .....	(80)	13	(139)	(54)
<b>Comprehensive Income (Loss)</b> .....	\$ (98)	\$23	\$(135)	\$(19)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**EME HOMER CITY GENERATION L.P.**  
**BALANCE SHEETS**  
(In millions, Unaudited)

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2008</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2007</u>
<b>Assets</b>		
<b>Current Assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 83	\$ 142
Due from affiliates . . . . .	1	1
Fuel inventory . . . . .	57	30
Spare parts inventory . . . . .	26	26
Derivative assets . . . . .	2	—
Deferred taxes . . . . .	80	6
Intangible assets . . . . .	37	45
Other current assets . . . . .	5	4
Total current assets . . . . .	291	254
<b>Property, Plant and Equipment</b> . . . . .	2,182	2,155
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization . . . . .	444	411
Net property, plant and equipment . . . . .	1,738	1,744
<b>Deferred taxes</b> . . . . .	129	96
<b>Restricted cash</b> . . . . .	37	37
<b>Long-term intangible assets</b> . . . . .	6	4
<b>Total Assets</b> . . . . .	\$ 2,201	\$ 2,135
<b>Liabilities and Partners' Equity</b>		
<b>Current Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable . . . . .	\$ 43	\$ 17
Accrued liabilities . . . . .	16	16
Due to affiliates . . . . .	170	169
Interest payable . . . . .	23	31
Interest payable to affiliates . . . . .	15	8
Derivative liabilities . . . . .	199	15
Current portion of lease financing . . . . .	57	53
Total current liabilities . . . . .	523	309
<b>Long-term debt to affiliate</b> . . . . .	373	394
<b>Lease financing, net of current portion</b> . . . . .	1,150	1,207
<b>Benefit plans and other</b> . . . . .	41	39
<b>Long-term derivative liabilities</b> . . . . .	94	31
<b>Total Liabilities</b> . . . . .	2,181	1,980
<b>Commitments and Contingencies</b> (Note 6)		
<b>Partners' Equity</b> . . . . .	20	155
<b>Total Liabilities and Partners' Equity</b> . . . . .	\$ 2,201	\$ 2,135

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**EME HOMER CITY GENERATION L.P.**  
**STATEMENTS OF PARTNERS' EQUITY**  
(In millions, Unaudited)

	<u>Chestnut Ridge Energy Company</u>	<u>Mission Energy Westside Inc.</u>	<u>Total Partners' Equity</u>
<b>Balance at December 31, 2007</b> . . . . .	\$ 154	\$ 1	\$ 155
Net income . . . . .	4	—	4
Other comprehensive loss . . . . .	<u>(139)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(139)</u>
<b>Balance at June 30, 2008</b> . . . . .	<u>\$ 19</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 20</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**EME HOMER CITY GENERATION L.P.**  
**STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
(In millions, Unaudited)

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
<b>Cash Flows From Operating Activities</b>		
Net Income . . . . .	\$ 4	\$ 35
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization . . . . .	32	31
Deferred taxes . . . . .	(8)	(9)
Decrease in due to/from affiliates . . . . .	1	17
Increase in inventory . . . . .	(27)	(5)
Decrease (increase) in other current assets . . . . .	(1)	6
Decrease (increase) in intangible assets . . . . .	6	(21)
Increase in accounts payable and other current liabilities . . . . .	26	8
Decrease in interest payable . . . . .	(1)	(38)
Increase in other liabilities . . . . .	2	2
Decrease in derivative assets and liabilities . . . . .	7	2
Net cash provided by operating activities . . . . .	41	28
<b>Cash Flows From Financing Activities</b>		
Refund of deposit under lease swap agreement . . . . .	—	45
Repayments of long-term debt to affiliate . . . . .	(21)	(12)
Repayments of lease financing . . . . .	(53)	(50)
Net cash used in financing activities . . . . .	(74)	(17)
<b>Cash Flows From Investing Activities</b>		
Capital expenditures . . . . .	(26)	(6)
Restricted cash . . . . .	—	10
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities . . . . .	(26)	4
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents . . . . .</b>	<b>(59)</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period . . . . .</b>	<b>142</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period . . . . .</b>	<b>\$ 83</b>	<b>\$129</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

**EME HOMER CITY GENERATION L.P.**  
**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**JUNE 30, 2008**  
**(Unaudited)**

**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Basis of Presentation*

EME Homer City's significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to its financial statements included in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. EME Homer City follows the same accounting policies for interim reporting purposes, with the exception of accounting principles adopted as of January 1, 2008 as discussed below in "—New Accounting Pronouncements." This quarterly report should be read in conjunction with such financial statements.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, including recurring accruals, have been made that are necessary to fairly state the financial position and results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for the periods covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

Certain prior year reclassifications have been made to conform to the current year financial statement presentation.

*New Accounting Pronouncements*

*Accounting Principles Adopted*

FASB Staff Position FIN No. 39-1—

In April 2007, the FASB issued FIN No. 39-1. This pronouncement permits companies to offset fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral (a receivable) or the obligation to return cash collateral (a payable) against fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments executed with the same counterparty under a master netting arrangement. In addition, upon the adoption, companies were permitted to change their accounting policy to offset or not offset fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments under master netting agreements. EME Homer City adopted FIN No. 39-1 effective January 1, 2008. EME Homer City does not currently have margining agreements and accordingly, adoption of FIN No. 39-1 did not have an impact on its financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159—

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Liabilities, Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115," which provides an option to report eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings. EME Homer City adopted this pronouncement effective January 1, 2008. The adoption had no impact because EME Homer City did not make an optional election to report additional financial assets and liabilities at fair value.

#### Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157—

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, which clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands the disclosures on fair value measurements. EME Homer City adopted SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2008. The adoption did not result in any retrospective adjustment to its financial statements. The accounting requirements for employers' pension and other postretirement benefit plans are effective at the end of 2008, which is the next measurement date for these benefit plans. The effective date will be January 1, 2009 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities which are measured or disclosed on a non-recurring basis. For further discussion see Note 2—Fair Value Measurements.

#### *Accounting Principles Not Yet Adopted*

#### Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161—

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, which requires additional disclosures related to derivative instruments, including how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, with early adoption permitted. EME Homer City will adopt SFAS No. 161 in the first quarter of 2009. SFAS No. 161 will impact disclosures only and will not have an impact on EME Homer City's results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

#### FSP SFAS No. 142-3—

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 142-3 which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." The intent of the position is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141(R) and other U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. EME Homer City will adopt FSP SFAS No. 142-3 on January 1, 2009. EME Homer City is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of this position could have on its financial statements.

#### **Note 2. Fair Value Measurements**

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (referred to as an "exit price" in SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 clarifies that a fair value measurement for a liability should reflect the entity's nonperformance risk.

The standard establishes a hierarchy for fair value measurements. Financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis are classified and disclosed in the three categories outlined below:

- Level 1 – Observable inputs that reflect quoted market prices (unadjusted) for identical assets and liabilities in active markets;
- Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted market prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly; and

- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs using data that is not corroborated by market data and primarily based on internal company analysis.

EME Homer City’s liabilities carried at fair value primarily consist of derivative positions. These positions may include forward sales and purchases of physical power, options and forward price swaps which settle only on a financial basis (including futures contracts). In assessing the fair value of EME Homer City’s derivative financial instruments, EME Homer City uses quoted market prices and forward market prices adjusted for credit risk. The fair value of commodity price contracts takes into account quoted market prices, time value of money, volatility of the underlying commodities and other factors.

Level 1 includes derivatives that are exchange traded in active markets. The fair values are determined using quoted exchange transaction market prices.

Level 2 includes traded derivatives using over-the-counter markets and exchange traded derivatives not classified as Level 1. The fair value of these derivatives is determined using forward market prices adjusted for credit risk. The majority of Level 2 derivatives are entered into for hedging purposes.

Level 3 includes derivatives that trade infrequently such as firm transmission rights and over-the-counter derivatives at illiquid locations. For illiquid firm transmission rights, EME Homer City reviews objective criteria related to system congestion on a quarterly basis and other underlying drivers and adjusts fair value when EME Homer City concludes a change in objective criteria would result in a new valuation that better reflects the fair value. Changes in fair values are based on hypothetical sale of illiquid positions.

In circumstances where EME Homer City cannot verify fair value with observable market transactions, it is possible that a different valuation model could produce a materially different estimate of fair value. As markets continue to develop and more pricing information becomes available, EME Homer City continues to assess valuation methodologies used to determine fair value.

When appropriate, valuations are adjusted for various factors including liquidity, bid/offer spreads and credit considerations. Such adjustments are generally based on available market evidence. In the absence of such evidence, management’s best estimate is used.

The following table sets forth EME Homer City’s financial liabilities that were accounted for at fair value as of June 30, 2008 by level within the fair value hierarchy.

	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total at</u> <u>June 30, 2008</u>
	(in millions)			
Liabilities at Fair Value				
Derivative contracts . . . . .	—	\$ (288)	\$ (3)	\$ (291)

The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of EME Homer City's Level 3 derivative contracts, net for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

	(in millions)
Fair value of derivative contracts, net at April 1, 2008 . . . . .	\$ —
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses):	
Included in earnings(1) . . . . .	—
Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss . . . . .	(4)
Purchases and settlements, net . . . . .	—
Transfers in or out of Level 3 . . . . .	<u>1</u>
Fair value of derivative contracts, net at June 30, 2008 . . . . .	<u>\$ (3)</u>

The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of EME Homer City's Level 3 derivative contracts, net for the six months ended June 30, 2008.

	(in millions)
Fair value of derivative contracts, net at January 1, 2008 . . . . .	\$ (2)
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses):	
Included in earnings(1) . . . . .	2
Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss . . . . .	(3)
Purchases and settlements, net . . . . .	(2)
Transfers in or out of Level 3 . . . . .	<u>2</u>
Fair value of derivative contracts, net at June 30, 2008 . . . . .	<u>\$ (3)</u>

(1) Reported in "Operating Revenues" on EME Homer City's statements of income (loss).

The changes in unrealized gains (losses) related to derivative contracts, net held at June 30, 2008 during the quarter and six-month period ended June 30, 2008 were immaterial.

**Note 3. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss**

Accumulated other comprehensive loss consisted of the following:

	Unrealized Losses on Cash Flow Hedges	Unrecognized Losses and Prior Service Costs, Net(1)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
	(in millions)		
Balance at December 31, 2007 . . . . .	\$ (14)	\$ —	\$ (14)
Current period change . . . . .	<u>(139)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(139)</u>
Balance at June 30, 2008 . . . . .	<u>\$ (153)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ (153)</u>

(1) For further detail, see Note 4—Compensation and Benefit Plans.

Unrealized losses on cash flow hedges, net of tax, at June 30, 2008, included unrealized losses on commodity hedges related to futures and forward electricity contracts that qualify for hedge accounting. These losses arise because current forecasts of future electricity prices are greater than EME Homer City's contract prices. As EME Homer City's hedged positions are realized, \$106 million, after tax, of the net unrealized losses on cash flow hedges at June 30, 2008 are expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months. Management expects that reclassification of net unrealized losses will decrease energy revenue recognized at market prices. Actual amounts ultimately reclassified into earnings over the next 12 months could vary materially from this estimated amount as a result of changes in market conditions. The maximum period over which a cash flow hedge is designated is through December 31, 2010.

Under SFAS No. 133, the portion of a cash flow hedge that does not offset the change in value of the transaction being hedged, which is commonly referred to as the ineffective portion, is immediately recognized in earnings. EME Homer City recorded net losses of \$10 million and \$9 million during the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$16 million and \$10 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, representing the amount of cash flow hedges' ineffectiveness, reflected in operating revenues in EME Homer City's income statements.

#### Note 4. Compensation and Benefit Plans

##### *Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*

###### *Pension Plans*

As of June 30, 2008, EME Homer City had made approximately \$0.3 million in contributions to its pension plans and estimates to make \$1.7 million of contributions in the last six months of 2008. Expected contribution funding in 2008 could vary from anticipated amounts, depending on the funded status at year-end and the tax-deductible funding limitations.

The following are components of pension expense:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(in millions)			
Service cost . . . . .	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.8
Interest cost . . . . .	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.7
Expected return on plan assets . . . . .	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.8)	(0.7)
Total expense . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.3</u>	<u>\$ 0.4</u>	<u>\$ 0.7</u>	<u>\$ 0.8</u>

###### *Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions*

As of June 30, 2008, EME Homer City had made approximately \$0.2 million in contributions to its postretirement benefits other than pensions and estimates to make \$0.2 million of contributions in the last six months of 2008. Expected contribution funding in 2008 could vary from anticipated amounts, depending on the funded status at year-end and the tax-deductible funding limitations.

The following are components of postretirement benefits expense:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(in millions)			
Service cost . . . . .	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.4	\$ 0.4
Interest cost . . . . .	0.5	0.3	0.8	0.6
Amortization of prior service costs . . . . .	(0.1)	—	(0.1)	—
Amortization of net loss . . . . .	—	—	0.1	0.1
Total expense . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.6</u>	<u>\$ 0.5</u>	<u>\$ 1.2</u>	<u>\$ 1.1</u>

## **Note 5. Income Taxes**

EME Homer City had an effective income tax provision rate of 40% for both the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. EME Homer City's effective income tax provision rate varies from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes and estimated benefits from a federal deduction related to qualified domestic production activities under Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code.

## **Note 6. Commitments and Contingencies**

### *Commitments*

#### *Capital Improvements*

At June 30, 2008, EME Homer City had firm commitments to spend approximately \$22 million on capital expenditures during the remainder of 2008 related to non-environmental improvements such as upgrades to boiler and turbine controls, replacement of major boiler components and environmental improvements such as mercury emission monitoring and control. These capital expenditures are planned to be financed by cash generated from operations.

#### *Other Contractual Obligations*

EME Homer City had entered into contractual agreements during the first six months of 2008 to purchase materials for environmental controls equipment. These commitments are currently estimated to be \$18 million, summarized as follows: 2010—\$5 million, 2011—\$5 million, 2012—\$4 million, and thereafter—\$4 million.

#### *Interconnection Agreement*

EME Homer City's general partner, Mission Energy Westside, a wholly owned subsidiary of EME, is a party to an interconnection agreement with NYSEG and Penelec to provide interconnection services necessary to interconnect the Homer City facilities with NYSEG's and Penelec's transmission systems. Unless terminated earlier in accordance with specified terms, the interconnection agreement will terminate on a date mutually agreed to by Mission Energy Westside, NYSEG and Penelec. This date will not exceed the retirement date of the Homer City units. NYSEG and Penelec have agreed to extend the interconnection services (but not the expiration of the agreement) to modifications, additions or upgrades to, or repowering of the Homer City units. Mission Energy Westside is required to compensate NYSEG and Penelec for all reasonable costs associated with any modifications, additions or replacements made to NYSEG's or Penelec's interconnection facilities or transmission systems in connection with any modification, addition or upgrade to, or repowering of the Homer City units.

### *Guarantees and Indemnities*

#### *Tax Indemnity Agreements*

In connection with the sale-leaseback transaction related to the Homer City facilities, EME Homer City and its indirect parent, EME, entered into tax indemnity agreements. Under these tax indemnity agreements, EME Homer City and EME agreed to indemnify the equity investors in the sale-leaseback transaction for specified adverse tax consequences that could result in certain situations set forth in the tax indemnity agreements, including specified defaults under the respective leases. The potential

indemnity obligation under these tax indemnity agreements could be significant. Due to the nature of the potential obligations under these tax indemnity agreements, EME Homer City cannot determine a maximum potential liability which would be triggered by a valid claim from the lessors. EME Homer City has not recorded a liability related to these indemnities.

#### *Indemnity Provided as Part of the Acquisition of the Homer City Facilities*

In connection with the acquisition of the Homer City facilities, EME Homer City agreed to indemnify the sellers with respect to specific environmental liabilities before and after the date of sale. Payments would be triggered under this indemnity by a valid claim from the sellers. EME guaranteed the obligations of EME Homer City. Due to the nature of the obligation under this indemnity provision, it is not subject to a maximum potential liability and does not have an expiration date. EME Homer City has not recorded a liability related to this indemnity.

#### *Contingencies*

##### *RPM Buyers' Complaint*

On May 30, 2008, a group of entities referring to themselves as the "RPM Buyers" filed a complaint at the FERC asking that PJM's RPM, as implemented through the transitional base residual auctions establishing capacity payments for the period from June 1, 2008 through May 31, 2011, be found to have produced unjust and unreasonable capacity prices. The RPM Buyers alleged that the absence of price discipline provided by new capacity resources, together with the ability of existing resources to withhold some capacity within the RPM rules, produced capacity prices in the transition period that are not comparable to those that would have been produced in a competitive market or determined under cost-based regulation, and have requested that the FERC order refunds based on that difference.

On July 10, 2008, EME responded to the RPM Buyers' complaint asking that the same be dismissed based upon various legal precedents. In particular EME argued that the complaint represents little more than a collateral attack on the FERC's orders approving the RPM settlement and rules and that all of the major factors the RPM Buyers alleged produced unjust and unreasonable prices in the base residual auctions were previously litigated and adjudicated in the contested proceedings involving the RPM settlement. A number of other parties, including PJM, also responded to the RPM Buyers' complaint asking that the same be dismissed. This matter is currently pending before the FERC. EME Homer City cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

##### *New Source Review Notice of Violation*

On June 12, 2008, EME Homer City received an NOV from the US EPA alleging that, beginning in 1988, EME Homer City (or former owners of the Homer City facilities) performed repair or replacement projects at Homer City Units 1 and 2 without first obtaining construction permits as required by the Prevention of Significant Deterioration requirements of the Clean Air Act. The US EPA also alleges that EME Homer City has failed to file timely and complete Title V permits. EME Homer City intends to meet with the US EPA to discuss the alleged violations. EME Homer City is investigating the claims made by the US EPA in the NOV and potential responses and cannot predict at this time what effect this matter may have on its facilities, its results of operations, financial position or cash flows. EME Homer City has sought indemnification for liability and defense costs associated with the NOV from the sellers under the asset purchase agreement pursuant to which EME Homer City acquired the Homer City facilities. The sellers responded by denying the indemnity obligation, but accepting the defense of the claims.

### *Ash Disposal Site*

EME Homer City's ash disposal site is a permitted Class I Residual Waste Landfill, the most stringently regulated of the three categories of residual waste landfills authorized by the regulations of the PADEP. EME Homer City's permit allows it to dispose of coal combustion by-products, including fly ash, bottom ash and pyrites, and miscellaneous plant wastes at the landfill. The wastes are deposited in compacted layers within lifts, or sections. Each lift where coal ash is disposed must be capped and covered when it reaches final grade. EME Homer City must also monitor groundwater quality at and adjacent to the ash disposal site through a network of monitoring wells and report the results to the PADEP on a periodic basis. In the event that a disposal facility's groundwater monitoring identifies degradation in any of its wells, the PADEP's regulations require the facility to first confirm the existence and nature of the degradation by conducting a groundwater assessment. If the assessment confirms groundwater degradation in excess of the applicable regulatory standards, the facility is then required to prepare and implement an abatement plan that could include measures such as installing a liner in a previously unlined area. To date, no degradation has been found in the groundwater monitoring system at EME Homer City that would require the development of an assessment or abatement plan. EME Homer City also provides financial assurance in the form of a surety bond to guarantee its closure and post-closure obligations at the landfill. The estimated closure date is 2018. Based on the remaining capacity of the landfill and the estimated material requiring future disposal, EME Homer City has begun permitting additional areas for expansion of the landfill. Management does not believe that the costs of maintaining and closing the ash disposal site will have a material impact on EME Homer City's financial statements.

### *Insurance*

EME Homer City maintains insurance policies that it believes are comparable to those carried by other electric generating facilities of a similar size. The insurance program includes all-risk real and personal property insurance, including coverage for losses from boiler and machinery breakdowns, and the perils of earthquake and flood, subject to certain sublimits. The property insurance program currently covers losses up to \$1.325 billion. Under the terms of the participation agreements entered into as part of EME Homer City's sale-leaseback transaction, EME Homer City is required to maintain specified minimum insurance coverages if and to the extent that such insurance is available on a commercially reasonable basis. Although the insurance covering the Homer City facilities is comparable to insurance coverages normally carried by companies engaged in similar businesses, and owning similar properties, the insurance coverages that are in place do not meet the minimum insurance coverages required under the participation agreements. Due to the current market environment, the minimum insurance coverage is not commercially available at reasonable prices. EME Homer City has obtained a waiver under the participation agreements which permits it to maintain its current insurance coverage through June 1, 2009.

EME Homer City also carries general liability insurance covering liabilities to third parties for bodily injury or property damage resulting from operations, automobile liability insurance and excess liability insurance. Limits and deductibles in respect of these insurance policies are consistent with the requirements of the participation agreements.

### *Environmental Matters and Regulations*

The construction and operation of power plants are subject to environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities. EME Homer City believes that it is in substantial compliance with existing environmental regulatory requirements. However, possible future developments, such as the promulgation of more stringent environmental laws and regulations, future proceedings that may be

initiated by environmental and other regulatory authorities, cases in which new theories of liability are recognized, and settlements agreed to by other companies that establish precedent or expectations for the power industry, could affect the costs and the manner in which EME Homer City conducts its business and could require substantial additional capital or operational expenditures or the ceasing of operations at certain of its facilities. There is no assurance that EME Homer City's financial position and results of operations would not be materially adversely affected. EME Homer City is unable to predict the precise extent to which additional laws and regulations may affect its future operations and capital expenditure requirements.

Typically, environmental laws and regulations require a lengthy and complex process for obtaining licenses, permits and approvals prior to construction, operation or modification of a project or generating facility. Meeting all the necessary requirements can delay or sometimes prevent the completion of a proposed project, as well as require extensive modifications to existing projects, which may involve significant capital or operational expenditures. If EME Homer City fails to comply with applicable environmental laws, it may be subject to injunctive relief or penalties and fines imposed by federal and state regulatory authorities.

In July 2008, the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the US EPA's CAIR and remanded it to the US EPA. EME Homer City cannot predict whether the US EPA or any other party will seek a rehearing or appeal of the decision. The decision raises significant questions as to whether the US EPA will be able to design cap-and-trade programs for NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> that are authorized and consistent with the Clean Air Act provisions that address upwind contributions to downwind states' noncompliance with national ambient air quality standards for ozone and fine particulate matter. Because the CAIR was vacated, the court's decision means that the existing "SIP Call" ozone season NO<sub>x</sub> cap-and-trade program, which was due to be replaced by the CAIR, will continue (assuming the decision is upheld).

EME Homer City is in the process of evaluating the impact of the D.C. Circuit's decision. Because Pennsylvania promulgated its regulations in response to the CAIR, there is substantial uncertainty as to the impact of the decision on Pennsylvania's regulatory program, which is modeled on the federal CAIR and is dependent on the interstate emissions trading program established by the CAIR. In addition, the US EPA has allowed states to rely on compliance with the CAIR to satisfy obligations under other Clean Air Act programs, including regional haze regulations and reasonably available control technology requirements. Depending on what happens with respect to CAIR, the Homer City facilities may be subject to additional requirements pursuant to these programs. For further discussion, see "Note 8. Commitments and Contingencies—Environmental Matters and Regulations—Air Quality Regulation—Clean Air Interstate Rule" in EME Homer City's financial statements included in its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

**Note 7. Supplemental Cash Flows Information**

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007
	(in millions)	
Cash paid		
Interest . . . . .	\$ 64	\$ 109
Income taxes . . . . .	6	1

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

*This MD&A contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements reflect EME Homer City's current expectations and projections about future events based on EME Homer City's knowledge of present facts and circumstances and assumptions about future events and include any statement that does not directly relate to a historical or current fact. Other information distributed by EME Homer City that is incorporated in this report, or that refers to or incorporates this report, may also contain forward-looking statements. In this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, the words "expects," "believes," "anticipates," "estimates," "projects," "intends," "plans," "probable," "may," "will," "could," "would," "should," and variations of such words and similar expressions, or discussions of strategy or plans, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements necessarily involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Some of the risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause results to differ, or that otherwise could impact EME Homer City, include but are not limited to:*

- supply and demand for electric capacity and energy, and the resulting prices and dispatch volumes, in the wholesale markets to which EME Homer City's generating units have access;*
- the cost and availability of fuel and fuel transportation services;*
- market volatility and other market conditions that could increase EME Homer City's obligations to post collateral beyond the amounts currently expected, and the potential effect of such conditions on the ability of EME Homer City to provide sufficient collateral in support of its hedging activities and purchases of fuel;*
- the cost and availability of emission credits or allowances;*
- transmission congestion in and to each market area and the resulting differences in prices between delivery points;*
- governmental, statutory, regulatory or administrative changes or initiatives affecting EME Homer City or the electricity industry generally, including market structure rules;*
- environmental laws and regulations, at both state and federal levels, that could require additional expenditures or otherwise affect EME Homer City's cost and manner of doing business;*
- the ability of EME Homer City to borrow funds and access capital markets on favorable terms;*
- the extent of additional supplies of capacity, energy and ancillary services from current competitors or new market entrants, including the development of new generation facilities, and technologies that may be able to produce electricity at a lower cost than EME Homer City's generating facilities and/or increased access by competitors to EME Homer City's markets as a result of transmission upgrades;*
- the difficulty of predicting wholesale prices, transmission congestion, energy demand, and other aspects of the complex and volatile markets in which EME Homer City participates;*
- operating risks, including equipment failure, availability, heat rate, output and availability and cost of spare parts and repairs;*

- *effects of legal proceedings, changes in or interpretations of tax laws, rates or policies, and changes in accounting standards;*
- *general political, economic and business conditions; and*
- *weather conditions, natural disasters and other unforeseen events.*

*Additional information about risks and uncertainties, including more detail about the factors described above, is contained throughout this MD&A and in the “Risk Factors” section included in Part I, Item 1A of EME Homer City’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Readers are urged to read this entire quarterly report on Form 10-Q and carefully consider the risks, uncertainties and other factors that affect EME Homer City’s business. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and EME Homer City is not obligated to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements. Readers should review future reports filed by EME Homer City with the Securities and Exchange Commission.*

*This MD&A discusses material changes in the results of operations, financial condition and other developments of EME Homer City since December 31, 2007, and as compared to the second quarter of 2007 and six months ended June 30, 2007. This discussion presumes that the reader has read or has access to the MD&A included in Item 7 of EME Homer City’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.*

This MD&A is presented in four sections:

	<u>Page</u>
Management’s Overview; Critical Accounting Policies . . . . .	16
Results of Operations . . . . .	18
Liquidity and Capital Resources . . . . .	21
Market Risk Exposures . . . . .	26

## **MANAGEMENT’S OVERVIEW; CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

### **Management’s Overview**

#### ***Introduction***

EME Homer City is a Pennsylvania limited partnership formed for the purpose of acquiring, owning and operating three coal-fired electric generating units and related facilities located near Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania with an aggregate capacity of 1,884 MW. EME Homer City does not have any plans to purchase or develop new power plants at this time. In December 2001, EME Homer City completed a sale-leaseback transaction of the Homer City facilities to third-party lessors. The sale-leaseback transaction is accounted for as a lease financing for financial reporting purposes.

The Homer City facilities are located in the control area managed by PJM. The energy and capacity from the Homer City facilities are sold under terms, including price and quantity, arranged by EMMT, on behalf of EME Homer City, to customers through a combination of bilateral agreements, forward energy sales and spot market sales. See “Market Risk Exposures” for further discussion of forward market prices.

## ***Industry Developments***

### ***Commodity Prices***

The 24-hour average market prices for energy at the PJM West Hub increased 23% during the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the corresponding period in 2007. In addition, the forward energy market price for 2009 at the PJM West Hub increased 41% at June 30, 2008 from December 31, 2007. At June 30, 2008, EME Homer City had entered into hedge contracts that are recorded at fair value in its financial statements. Since forward energy prices have increased at June 30, 2008, the hedge contracts are reflected as a liability, with the effective portion of the contracts recorded as a reduction of partners' equity (\$153 million after tax). Subsequent to June 30, 2008, forward energy market prices decreased (forward market prices for 2009 at July 29, 2008 decreased 22% at the PJM West Hub from June 30, 2008) reflecting the volatile nature of commodity prices. See "Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk" for further discussion. During the three-month period ended June 30, 2008 of historically high forward energy market prices, EME Homer City increased its hedge position by approximately 2.8 million megawatt hours.

### ***Regulatory Developments***

In July 2008, the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the US EPA's CAIR and remanded it to the US EPA. In addition, because Pennsylvania promulgated its regulations in response to the CAIR, there is substantial uncertainty as to the impact of the Court's decision on these regulations. Notwithstanding these developments, the Homer City facilities continue to be governed by state rules as well as the existing "SIP Call" ozone season NO<sub>x</sub> cap-and-trade program (which was due to be replaced by the CAIR). For further discussion, see "Liquidity and Capital Resources—Environmental Matters and Regulations—Air Quality Regulation—Clean Air Interstate Rule."

### ***Overview of EME Homer City's Operating Performance***

EME Homer City's net income (loss) for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$(18) million and \$4 million, respectively, compared to \$10 million and \$35 million for the quarter and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The decreases in earnings were primarily attributable to lower energy revenues primarily from lower generation and lower average realized energy prices, and higher plant maintenance expenses, partially offset by an increase in capacity revenues. Higher forced outages, lower off-peak dispatch and extended planned overhauls in 2008 contributed to lower generation and higher maintenance expenses. The average realized energy prices for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008 were below the 24-hour PJM West Hub average market prices primarily due to effective hedge prices being below current market prices and the impact of higher basis (increase in energy prices at PJM West Hub greater than increase in prices at the Homer City busbar). For further discussion, see "Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk—Energy Price Risk."

### ***Critical Accounting Policies***

For a discussion of EME Homer City's critical accounting policies, refer to "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies" of EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Summary

The table below summarizes revenues and key performance measures related to coal-fired generation, which represents the majority of EME Homer City's operations.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
Operating Revenues (in millions) . . . . .	\$ 127	\$ 176	\$ 312	\$ 374

### Statistics

Generation (in GWh) . . . . .	2,250	3,160	5,442	6,453
Equivalent availability(1) . . . . .	61.6%	83.5%	74.6%	85.0%
Capacity factor(2) . . . . .	54.6%	76.7%	66.0%	78.7%
Load factor(3) . . . . .	88.7%	91.8%	88.6%	92.6%
Forced outage rate(4) . . . . .	12.1%	1.8%	10.6%	3.9%
Average realized energy price/MWh(5) . . . . .	\$ 53.81	\$ 54.32	\$ 55.06	\$ 56.13
Capacity revenue only (in millions) . . . . .	\$ 11	\$ 7	\$ 19	\$ 13
Average fuel costs/MWh . . . . .	\$ 23.23	\$ 21.62	\$ 22.84	\$ 21.72

- (1) The equivalent availability factor is defined as the number of MWh the coal plants are available to generate electricity divided by the product of the capacity of the coal plants (in MW) and the number of hours in the period. Equivalent availability reflects the impact of the unit's inability to achieve full load, referred to as derating, as well as outages which result in a complete unit shutdown. The coal plants are not available during periods of planned and unplanned maintenance.
- (2) The capacity factor is defined as the actual number of MWh generated by the coal plants divided by the product of the capacity of the coal plants (in MW) and the number of hours in the period.
- (3) The load factor is determined by dividing capacity factor by the equivalent availability factor.
- (4) Homer City refers to unplanned maintenance as a forced outage.
- (5) The average realized energy price reflects the average price at which energy is sold into the market including the effects of hedges, real-time and day-ahead sales and PJM fees and ancillary services. It is determined by dividing (i) operating revenue less (plus) unrealized SFAS No. 133 gains (losses) and other non-energy related revenue by (ii) total generation. Revenue related to capacity sales are excluded from the calculation of average realized energy price.

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(in millions)			
Operating revenues . . . . .	\$ 127	\$ 176	\$ 312	\$ 374
Less (plus):				
Unrealized losses . . . . .	6	3	7	2
Other revenues . . . . .	(11)	(8)	(19)	(14)
Realized revenues . . . . .	\$ 122	\$ 171	\$ 300	\$ 362
Generation (in GWh) . . . . .	2,250	3,160	5,442	6,453
Average realized energy price/MWh . . . . .	\$ 53.81	\$ 54.32	\$ 55.06	\$ 56.13

## **Operating Revenues**

Operating revenues decreased \$49 million and \$62 million in the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2007. The decreases were primarily attributable to lower generation, and to a lesser extent by lower average realized energy prices. Higher forced outages, lower off-peak dispatch and extended planned overhauls in 2008 contributed to lower generation. Capacity revenues increased in the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the corresponding periods of 2007, as a result of participating in the PJM RPM auction.

Included in operating revenues were unrealized losses from hedging activities of \$6 million and \$3 million for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$7 million and \$2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Unrealized losses were primarily attributable to the ineffective portion of forward and futures contracts which are derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133. The ineffective portion of hedge contracts was primarily attributable to changes in the difference between energy prices at PJM West Hub (the settlement point under forward contracts) and the energy prices at the Homer City busbar (the delivery point where power generated by the Homer City facilities is delivered into the transmission system). At June 30, 2008, unrealized losses of \$28 million were recognized primarily from the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges related to subsequent periods. See “Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk” and “Market Risk Exposures—Accounting for Energy Contracts” for more information.

## ***Seasonal Disclosure***

Due to higher electric demand resulting from warmer weather during the summer months and cold weather during the winter months, electric revenues from the Homer City facilities vary substantially on a seasonal basis. In addition, maintenance outages generally are scheduled during periods of lower projected electric demand (spring and fall) further reducing generation and increasing major maintenance costs which are recorded as an expense when incurred. Accordingly, earnings from the Homer City facilities are seasonal and have significant variability from quarter to quarter. Seasonal fluctuations may also be affected by changes in market prices. See “Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk—Energy Price Risk” for further discussion regarding market prices.

## **Operating Expenses**

Operating expenses were comparable for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007 and decreased \$4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the corresponding period of 2007. Operating expenses consisted of expenses for fuel, plant operations, depreciation and amortization, and administrative and general expenses. The change in components of operating expenses is discussed below.

Fuel expenses decreased \$16 million for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, compared to the corresponding periods of 2007. The decreases were primarily attributable to lower coal consumption as a result of lower generation. EME Homer City also had lower SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowance costs. The cost of SO<sub>2</sub> allowances decreased to \$2 million and \$7 million during the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, from \$6 million and \$12 million during the second quarter of 2007 and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively, due to lower emission and a decrease in the market price of SO<sub>2</sub> emission allowances.

Plant operations expenses increased \$16 million and \$11 million for the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2007. The

increases were primarily attributable to higher maintenance expenses in 2008. EME Homer City had higher forced outages and scheduled maintenance in 2008 due to tube leak repairs, an extended planned overhaul and a fan motor failure. It is anticipated that the maintenance work completed thus far in 2008 will minimize outages during the upcoming peak summer period.

#### **Other Income (Expense)**

Interest expense decreased \$4 million and \$8 million in the second quarter and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, compared to the corresponding periods of 2007. Interest expense primarily relates to the lease financing of the Homer City facilities that originated in December 2001. Interest expense also included interest of \$7 million and \$10 million in the second quarters of 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$15 million and \$20 million in the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, on EME Homer City's subordinated revolving loan agreement with Edison Mission Finance. EME Homer City had lower long-term debt balances during 2008 as compared to 2007 on the subordinated revolving loan agreement.

#### **Provision for Income Taxes**

EME Homer City had an effective income tax provision rate of 40% for both the first six months of 2008 and 2007. EME Homer City's effective income tax provision rate varies from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes and estimated benefits from a federal deduction related to qualified domestic production activities under Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code.

#### **New Accounting Pronouncements**

For a discussion of new accounting pronouncements affecting EME Homer City, see "EME Homer City Generation L.P. Notes to Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—New Accounting Pronouncements."

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

### Introduction

The following discussion of liquidity and capital resources is organized in the following sections:

	<u>Page</u>
Cash Flow . . . . .	21
Capital Expenditures and Lease Covenants . . . . .	21
Payments Made Under Subordinated Revolving Loan . . . . .	23
Credit Ratings . . . . .	23
Contractual Obligations and Contingencies . . . . .	24
Environmental Matters and Regulations . . . . .	25

For a complete discussion of these issues, read this quarterly report on Form 10-Q in conjunction with EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### Cash Flow

At June 30, 2008, EME Homer City had cash and cash equivalents of \$83 million, compared to \$142 million at December 31, 2007. Net working capital at June 30, 2008 was \$(232) million, compared to \$(55) million at December 31, 2007. The decrease in working capital was primarily attributable to a net increase in derivative liabilities, driven by higher average market prices for power as compared to contracted prices, at June 30, 2008.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$13 million in the first six months of 2008, compared to the corresponding period of 2007. The 2008 increase was primarily due to the timing of cash receipts and disbursements related to working capital items.

Net cash used in financing activities increased \$57 million in the first six months of 2008, compared to the corresponding period of 2007. The 2008 increase related to \$9 million of higher debt repayments under the subordinated revolving loan agreement with Edison Mission Finance. In addition, EME Homer City received a \$45 million refund of a deposit related to the termination of the lease swap agreement in 2007.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$26 million in the first six months of 2008, compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$4 million in the first six months of 2007. The 2008 change was primarily due to a \$20 million increase in capital expenditures on plant operating assets.

The use of EME Homer City's cash generated from operations is restricted by the sale-leaseback agreements. EME Homer City believes that it will have adequate liquidity to meet its obligations as they become due in the next 12 months.

### Capital Expenditures and Lease Covenants

At June 30, 2008, the estimated capital expenditures by EME Homer City through 2010 were as follows:

	<u>July through</u> <u>December 2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
	(in millions)		
Plant capital expenditures . . . . .	\$ 18	\$ 63	\$ 26
Environmental expenditures . . . . .	7	9	9
Total . . . . .	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ 72</u>	<u>\$ 35</u>

Plant capital expenditures relate to non-environmental projects such as upgrades to boiler and turbine controls, railroad interconnection, replacement of major boiler components and ash site disposal development. Environmental expenditures relate to projects such as mercury emission monitoring and control and a selenium removal system. EME Homer City plans to fund these expenditures with cash on hand or cash generated from operations. For further discussion regarding possible additional capital expenditures, including environmental control equipment, refer to “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Environmental Matters and Regulations—Air Quality Regulation” of EME Homer City’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Under the participation agreements entered into as part of the sale-leaseback transaction, EME Homer City’s ability to enter into specified transactions and to engage in specified business activities, including financing and investment activities, is subject to significant restrictions. These restrictions could affect, and in some cases significantly limit or prohibit, its ability to, among other things, merge, consolidate or sell its assets, create liens on its properties or assets, enter into non-permitted trading activities, enter into transactions with its affiliates, incur indebtedness, create, incur, assume or suffer to exist guarantees or contingent obligations, make restricted payments to its partners, make capital expenditures, own subsidiaries, liquidate or dissolve, engage in non-permitted business activities, sublease its leasehold interests in the facilities or make improvements to the facilities. Accordingly, EME Homer City’s liquidity is substantially based on its ability to generate cash flow from operations. If EME Homer City is unable to generate cash flow from operations necessary to meet its obligations, EME Homer City will have limited ability to obtain additional capital on attractive terms and conditions, unless its partners provide additional funding, which they are under no legal obligation to do.

The rent payments that EME Homer City owes under the sale-leaseback agreements are comprised of two components, a senior rent portion and an equity rent portion. The senior rent is used exclusively for debt service to the holders of the senior secured bonds issued in connection with the sale-leaseback transaction, while the equity rent is paid to the owner-lessors. In order to pay the equity portion of the rent, EME Homer City is required to meet historical and projected senior rent service coverage ratios of 1.7 to 1 subject to reduction to 1.3 to 1 under circumstances specified in the participation agreements. During the 12 months ended June 30, 2008, the senior rent service coverage ratio was 3.01 to 1. The senior rent service coverage ratio is determined by dividing net cash flow as defined in the participation agreements by the senior rent due in that period. In addition, if EME Homer City does not meet specified debt service coverage ratios while the lease debt is outstanding, it will not pay the equity portion of the rent to the owner-lessors. Accordingly, the sale-leaseback documentation does not permit the lessor to terminate the lease in the event of non-payment of the equity portion of the rent while the lease debt is outstanding.

EME Homer City’s use of cash in its bank accounts is limited to specific operating and capital expenditures as set forth in the security deposit agreement executed as part of the sale-leaseback transaction. The amount in certain reserve accounts will be available for payments due on the equity portion of lease rent during specified periods, and in accordance with the sale-leaseback documents, unless there is a default in the payment of the senior portion of lease rent, in which case the amount will be available to pay such senior portion of the lease rent. The release of funds from these restricted cash accounts is permitted, provided EME Homer City maintains specified reserve balances in accordance with the sale-leaseback documents, no event of default shall have occurred or be continuing and no two failed rent payments shall have occurred. EME Homer City had \$30 million included in restricted cash at June 30, 2008 related to these reserve accounts.

## Payments Made Under Subordinated Revolving Loan

The following table summarizes the payments by EME Homer City under its subordinated revolving loan with Edison Mission Finance Co., a subsidiary of EME, that constitute permitted distributions pursuant to the terms of the sale-leaseback transaction:

	<u>Six Months Ended</u> <u>June 30,</u>	
	<u>2008</u>	<u>2007</u>
	(in millions)	
Payment of interest . . . . .	\$ 9	\$ 51
Payment of principal . . . . .	21	12
Total payments . . . . .	<u>\$ 30</u>	<u>\$ 63</u>

## Credit Ratings

EME Homer City is not currently rated. EME Homer City has entered into a contract with EMMT for the sale of energy and capacity from its facilities, which enables this marketing affiliate to engage in forward sales and hedging. Credit ratings for EME and EMMT, at June 30, 2008, were as follows:

	<u>Moody's Rating</u>	<u>S&amp;P Rating</u>	<u>Fitch Rating</u>
EME . . . . .	B1	BB-	BB-
EMMT . . . . .	Not Rated	BB-	Not Rated

EME Homer City cannot provide assurance that the credit ratings above will remain in effect for any given period of time or that one or more of these ratings will not be lowered. EME Homer City notes that these credit ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities and may be revised at any time by a rating agency.

EME Homer City's sale-leaseback documents restrict EME Homer City's ability to enter into trading activities, as defined in the documents, with EMMT to sell forward the output of its facilities if EMMT does not have an investment grade credit rating from S&P or Moody's or, in the absence of those ratings, if it is not rated as investment grade pursuant to EME's internal credit scoring procedures. These documents include a requirement that the counterparty to such transactions, and EME Homer City, if acting as seller to an unaffiliated third party, be investment grade. EME Homer City, which is not rated, currently sells all the output from its facilities through EMMT, which has a below investment grade credit rating. Therefore, in order for EME Homer City to continue to sell forward the output of its facilities, either: (1) EME Homer City must obtain consent from the sale-leaseback owner participant to permit it to sell directly into the market or through EMMT; or (2) EMMT must provide assurances of performance consistent with the requirements of the sale-leaseback documents. EME Homer City has obtained a consent from the sale-leaseback owner participants that will allow it to enter into such sales, under specified conditions, through December 31, 2008. EME Homer City continues to be in compliance with the terms of the consent. EME Homer City is permitted to sell the output of its facilities into the spot market at any time. See "Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk."

## **Contractual Obligations and Contingencies**

### ***Contractual Obligations***

#### ***Capital Improvements***

At June 30, 2008, EME Homer City had firm commitments to spend approximately \$22 million on capital expenditures during the remainder of 2008 related to non-environmental improvements such as upgrades to boiler and turbine controls, replacement of major boiler components and environmental improvements such as mercury emission monitoring and control. These capital expenditures are planned to be financed by cash generated from operations.

#### ***Other Contractual Obligations***

EME Homer City had entered into contractual agreements during the first six months of 2008 to purchase materials for environmental controls equipment. These commitments are currently estimated to be \$18 million, summarized as follows: 2010—\$5 million, 2011—\$5 million, 2012—\$4 million, and thereafter—\$4 million.

### ***Contingencies***

#### ***RPM Buyers' Complaint***

On May 30, 2008, a group of entities referring to themselves as the “RPM Buyers” filed a complaint at the FERC asking that PJM’s RPM, as implemented through the transitional base residual auctions establishing capacity payments for the period from June 1, 2008 through May 31, 2011, be found to have produced unjust and unreasonable capacity prices. The RPM Buyers alleged that the absence of price discipline provided by new capacity resources, together with the ability of existing resources to withhold some capacity within the RPM rules, produced capacity prices in the transition period that are not comparable to those that would have been produced in a competitive market or determined under cost-based regulation, and have requested that the FERC order refunds based on that difference.

On July 10, 2008, EME responded to the RPM Buyers’ complaint asking that the same be dismissed based upon various legal precedents. In particular EME argued that the complaint represents little more than a collateral attack on the FERC’s orders approving the RPM settlement and rules and that all of the major factors the RPM Buyers alleged produced unjust and unreasonable prices in the base residual auctions were previously litigated and adjudicated in the contested proceedings involving the RPM settlement. A number of other parties, including PJM, also responded to the RPM Buyers’ complaint asking that the same be dismissed. This matter is currently pending before the FERC. EME Homer City cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

#### ***New Source Review Notice of Violation***

On June 12, 2008, EME Homer City received an NOV from the US EPA alleging that, beginning in 1988, EME Homer City (or former owners of the Homer City facilities) performed repair or replacement projects at Homer City Units 1 and 2 without first obtaining construction permits as required by the Prevention of Significant Deterioration requirements of the Clean Air Act. The US EPA also alleges that EME Homer City has failed to file timely and complete Title V permits. EME Homer City intends to meet with the US EPA to discuss the alleged violations. EME Homer City is investigating the claims made by the US EPA in the NOV and potential responses and cannot predict at this time what effect this matter may have on its facilities, its results of operations, financial position or cash flows. EME Homer City has sought indemnification for liability and defense costs associated with the NOV from the sellers under the asset purchase agreement pursuant to which EME Homer

City acquired the Homer City facilities. The sellers responded by denying the indemnity obligation, but accepting the defense of the claims.

### **Environmental Matters and Regulations**

For a discussion of EME Homer City's environmental matters, refer to "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Environmental Matters and Regulations" of EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 and the notes to the financial statements set forth therein. There have been no significant developments with respect to environmental matters specifically affecting EME Homer City since the filing of its annual report, except as follows:

#### *Air Quality Regulation*

##### *Ambient Air Quality Standards*

On March 12, 2008, the US EPA signed a final rule that implements revisions to the primary and secondary national ambient air quality standards for ozone, originally proposed on July 11, 2007. With regard to the primary standard for ozone, the US EPA has reduced the 8-hour standard to 0.075 parts per million (ppm) from the current standard of 0.84 ppm. The rule became effective on May 27, 2008. Attainment dates for the new standards range between 2013 and 2030, depending on the severity of the non-attainment. Available data indicates that the area in which the Homer City facilities are located is likely to be in attainment. EME Homer City intends to consider the new standards as part of its overall plan for environmental compliance.

##### *Clean Air Interstate Rule*

In July 2008, the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals vacated the US EPA's CAIR and remanded it to the US EPA. EME Homer City cannot predict whether the US EPA or any other party will seek a rehearing or appeal of the decision. The decision raises significant questions as to whether the US EPA will be able to design cap-and-trade programs for NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> that are authorized and consistent with the Clean Air Act provisions that address upwind contributions to downwind states' noncompliance with national ambient air quality standards for ozone and fine particulate matter. Because the CAIR was vacated, the court's decision means that the existing "SIP Call" ozone season NO<sub>x</sub> cap-and-trade program, which was due to be replaced by the CAIR, will continue (assuming the decision is upheld).

EME Homer City is in the process of evaluating the impact of the D.C. Circuit's decision. Because Pennsylvania promulgated its regulations in response to the CAIR, there is substantial uncertainty as to the impact of the decision on Pennsylvania's regulatory program, which is modeled on the federal CAIR and is dependent on the interstate emissions trading program established by the CAIR. In addition, the US EPA has allowed states to rely on compliance with the CAIR to satisfy obligations under other Clean Air Act programs, including regional haze regulations and reasonably available control technology requirements. Depending on what happens with respect to CAIR, the Homer City facilities may be subject to additional requirements pursuant to these programs.

## MARKET RISK EXPOSURES

### Introduction

EME Homer City's primary market risk exposures arise from fluctuations in electricity, capacity and fuel prices, emission allowances and transmission rights. EME Homer City manages these risks in part by using derivative financial instruments in accordance with established policies and procedures.

This section discusses these market risk exposures under the following headings:

	<u>Page</u>
Commodity Price Risk . . . . .	26
Accounting for Energy Contracts . . . . .	31
Derivative Financial Instruments . . . . .	32
Credit Risk . . . . .	33
Interest Rate Risk . . . . .	34
Regulatory Matters . . . . .	34

For a complete discussion of these issues, read this quarterly report on Form 10-Q in conjunction with EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

### Commodity Price Risk

#### Introduction

EME Homer City sells all of its energy and capacity into wholesale power markets through EMMT. EMMT enters into forward contracts for EME Homer City's electric output in order to provide more predictable earnings and cash flow. When appropriate, EMMT manages the spread between electric prices and fuel prices through the use of forward contracts, swaps, futures, or options contracts. There is no assurance that contracts to hedge changes in market prices will be effective.

EME Homer City's operations expose it to commodity price risk, which represents the potential loss that can be caused by a change in the market value of a particular commodity. Commodity price risks are actively monitored by a risk management committee to ensure compliance with EME Homer City's risk management policies, through EMMT. Policies are in place which define risk management processes, and procedures exist which allow for monitoring of all commitments and positions with regular reviews by a risk management committee. Despite this, there can be no assurance that all risks have been accurately identified, measured and/or mitigated.

In addition to prevailing market prices, EME Homer City's ability to derive profits from the sale of electricity will be affected by the cost of production, including costs incurred to comply with environmental regulations. The costs of production of the units vary and, accordingly, depending on market conditions, the amount of generation that will be sold from the units is expected to vary.

EMMT uses "earnings at risk" to identify, measure, monitor and control EME Homer City's overall market risk exposure with respect to hedge positions at the Homer City facilities. The use of this measure allows management to aggregate overall commodity risk, compare risk on a consistent basis and identify the risk factors. Earnings at risk measures the potential change in value of an asset or position, in each case over a given time interval, under normal market conditions, at a given confidence level. Given the inherent limitations of this measure and reliance on a single type of risk

measurement tool, EMMT supplements this approach with the use of stress testing and worst-case scenario analysis for key risk factors, as well as stop-loss limits and counterparty credit exposure limits.

### ***Hedging Strategy***

To reduce its exposure to market risk, EME Homer City hedges a portion of its electricity sales through EMMT. To the extent that EME Homer City does not hedge its electricity sales, the unhedged portion will be subject to the risks and benefits of spot market price movements. Hedge transactions are primarily implemented through:

- the use of contracts cleared on the Intercontinental Trading Exchange and the New York Mercantile Exchange,
- forward sales transactions entered into on a bilateral basis with third parties, including electric utilities and power marketing companies, and
- participation in capacity auctions.

The extent to which EME Homer City hedges its market price risk depends on several factors. First, EME Homer City evaluates over-the-counter market prices to determine whether the types of hedge transactions set forth above at forward market prices are sufficiently attractive compared to assuming the risk associated with fluctuating spot market sales. Second, EME Homer City's ability to enter into hedging transactions depends upon EMMT's credit capacity and upon the forward sales markets having sufficient liquidity to enable EME Homer City to identify appropriate counterparties for hedging transactions.

### ***Energy Price Risk***

All the energy and capacity from the Homer City facilities is sold under terms, including price and quantity, arranged by EMMT with customers through a combination of bilateral agreements (resulting from negotiations or from auctions), forward energy sales and spot market sales. Electric power generated at the Homer City facilities is generally sold into the PJM market. PJM has a short-term market, which establishes an hourly clearing price. The Homer City facilities are situated in the PJM control area and are physically connected to high-voltage transmission lines serving both the PJM and NYISO markets.

The following table depicts the average historical market prices for energy per megawatt-hour at the Homer City busbar and in PJM West Hub (EME Homer City's primary trading hub) during the first six months of 2008 and 2007:

	<b>Historical Energy Prices(1)</b>			
	<b>24-Hour PJM</b>			
	<b>Homer City</b>		<b>West Hub</b>	
	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>
January . . . . .	\$ 54.32	\$ 40.30	\$ 66.80	\$ 44.63
February . . . . .	61.74	64.27	68.29	73.93
March . . . . .	65.37	55.00	70.48	61.02
April . . . . .	61.99	52.42	69.12	58.74
May . . . . .	49.37	48.12	59.84	53.89
June . . . . .	78.72	45.88	98.50	60.19
Six-Month Average . . . . .	<u>\$ 61.92</u>	<u>\$ 51.00</u>	<u>\$ 72.17</u>	<u>\$ 58.73</u>

(1) Energy prices were calculated at the Homer City busbar (delivery point) and PJM West Hub using historical hourly real-time prices provided on the PJM web-site.

Forward market prices at the PJM West Hub fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, including natural gas prices, transmission congestion, changes in market rules, electricity demand (which in turn is affected by weather, economic growth and other factors), plant outages in the region, and the amount of existing and planned power plant capacity. The actual spot prices for electricity delivered by the Homer City facilities into these markets may vary materially from the forward market prices set forth in the table below.

The following table sets forth the forward market prices for energy per megawatt-hour as quoted for sales into the PJM West Hub at June 30, 2008:

	<b>24-Hour PJM West Hub Forward Energy Prices(1)</b>
<b>2008</b>	
July . . . . .	\$ 113.97
August . . . . .	115.98
September . . . . .	93.37
October . . . . .	90.30
November . . . . .	82.49
December . . . . .	95.45
<b>2009 Calendar "strip"(2)</b> . . . . .	<b>\$ 94.90</b>

(1) Energy prices were determined by obtaining broker quotes and information from other public sources relating to the PJM West Hub delivery point. Forward prices at PJM West Hub are generally higher than the prices at the Homer City busbar.

(2) Market price for energy purchases for the entire calendar year, as quoted for sales into the PJM West Hub.

The following table summarizes EME Homer City's hedge position at June 30, 2008:

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
GWh .....	3,636	4,096	2,654
Average price/MWh(1) .....	\$ 60.84	\$ 82.84	\$ 90.52

(1) The above hedge positions include forward contracts for the sale of power during different periods of the year and the day. Market prices tend to be higher during on-peak periods and during summer months, although there is significant variability of power prices during different periods of time. Accordingly, the above hedge position at June 30, 2008 is not directly comparable to the 24-hour PJM West Hub prices set forth above.

The average price/MWh for EME Homer City's hedge position is based on PJM West Hub. Energy prices at the Homer City busbar have been lower than energy prices at the PJM West Hub. See "—Basis Risk" below for a discussion of the difference.

### **Capacity Price Risk**

On June 1, 2007, PJM implemented the RPM for capacity. The purpose of the RPM is to provide a long-term pricing signal for capacity resources. The RPM provides a mechanism for PJM to satisfy the region's need for generation capacity, the cost of which is allocated to load-serving entities through a locational reliability charge.

The following table summarizes the status of capacity sales for EME Homer City at June 30, 2008:

	<u>July 1, 2008 to May 31, 2009</u>	<u>June 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010</u>	<u>June 1, 2010 to May 31, 2011</u>	<u>June 1, 2011 to May 31, 2012</u>
<b>Fixed Price Capacity Sales</b>				
MW .....	820	1,670	1,813	1,771
Price per MW-day .....	\$ 111.92	\$ 191.32	\$ 174.29	\$ 110.00
<b>Variable Capacity Sales</b>				
MW .....	905	—	—	—
Price per MW-day .....	\$ 65.76(1)	—	—	—

(1) Actual contract price is a function of NYISO capacity auction clearing prices in June 2008 through July 2008 and forward over-the-counter NYISO capacity prices on June 30, 2008 for August 2008 through May 2009.

Revenues from the sale of capacity from EME Homer City beyond the periods set forth above will depend upon the amount of capacity available and future market prices either in PJM or nearby markets if EME Homer City has an opportunity to capture a higher value associated with those markets. Under PJM's RPM system, the market price for capacity is generally determined by aggregate market-based supply conditions and an administratively set aggregate demand curve. Among the factors influencing the supply of capacity in any particular market are plant forced outage rates, plant closings, plant delistings (due to plants being removed as capacity resources and/or to export capacity to other markets), capacity imports from other markets, and the CONE.

Prior to the RPM auctions for the relevant delivery periods, EME Homer City sold a portion of its capacity to an unrelated third party for the delivery period of June 1, 2008 through May 31, 2009. EME Homer City is not receiving the RPM auction clearing price for this previously sold capacity. The price EME Homer City is receiving for these capacity sales is a function of NYISO capacity clearing prices resulting from separate NYISO capacity auctions.

### ***Basis Risk***

Sales made from the Homer City facilities in the real-time or day-ahead market receive the actual spot prices or day-ahead prices, as the case may be, at the Homer City busbar. In order to mitigate price risk from changes in spot prices at the Homer City busbar, EME Homer City may enter into cash settled futures contracts as well as forward contracts with counterparties for energy to be delivered in future periods. Currently, a liquid market for entering into these contracts at the Homer City busbar does not exist. A liquid market does exist at the PJM West Hub. EME Homer City's hedging activities use this settlement point to enter into hedging contracts. EME Homer City's revenues with respect to such forward contracts include:

- sales of actual generation in the amounts covered by the forward contracts with reference to PJM spot prices at the Homer City busbar, plus,
- sales to third parties at the price under such hedging contracts at designated settlement points (generally the PJM West Hub) less the cost of power at spot prices at the same designated settlement points.

Under PJM's market design, locational marginal pricing, which establishes market prices at specific locations throughout PJM by considering factors including generator bids, load requirements, transmission congestion and losses, can cause the price of a specific delivery point to be higher or lower relative to other locations depending on how the point is affected by transmission constraints. Effective June 1, 2007, PJM implemented marginal losses which adjusts the algorithm that calculates locational marginal prices to include a component for marginal transmission losses in addition to the component included for congestion. To the extent that, on the settlement date of a hedge contract, spot prices at the relevant busbar are lower than spot prices at the settlement point, the proceeds actually realized from the related hedge contract are effectively reduced by the difference. This is referred to as "basis risk." During the six months ended June 30, 2008, transmission congestion in PJM has resulted in prices at the Homer City busbar being lower than those at the PJM West Hub by an average of 14%, compared to 13% during the six months ended June 30, 2007. The monthly average difference during the 12 months ended June 30, 2008 ranged from 7% to 22%.

By entering into cash settled futures contracts and forward contracts using the PJM West Hub as the settlement point, EME Homer City is exposed to basis risk as described above. In order to mitigate basis risk, EME Homer City may purchase financial transmission rights and basis swaps in PJM for EME Homer City. A financial transmission right is a financial instrument that entitles the holder to receive the difference of actual spot prices for two delivery points in exchange for a fixed amount. Accordingly, EME Homer City's hedging activities include using financial transmission rights alone or in combination with forward contracts and basis swap contracts to manage basis risk.

### ***Coal Price Risk***

The Homer City facilities purchase coal primarily obtained from mines located near the facilities in Pennsylvania. Coal purchases are made under a variety of supply agreements extending through 2011.

The following table summarizes the amount of coal under contract at June 30, 2008 for the remainder of 2008 and the following three years.

	<u>July through December 2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>
Amount of coal under contract in millions of tons(1)(2) . . . .	3.2	4.5	0.4	0.1

- (1) The amount of coal under contract in tons is calculated based on contracted tons and applying a 13,000 Btu equivalent.
- (2) At June 30, 2008, there are options to purchase additional coal of 1.7 million tons in 2010 and 1.2 million tons in 2011.

EME Homer City is subject to price risk for purchases of coal that are not under contract. Prices of NAPP coal, which are related to the price of coal purchased for the Homer City facilities, increased substantially during 2008 from 2007 year-end prices. The price of NAPP coal (with 13,000 Btu per pound heat content and <3.0 pounds of SO<sub>2</sub> per MMBtu sulfur content) increased to \$149 per ton at July 25, 2008 from \$55.25 per ton at December 21, 2007, as reported by the Energy Information Administration. The 2008 increase in the NAPP coal price was primarily attributable to: 1) increased international and Atlantic basin coal demand, 2) port and rail infrastructure problems and monsoon flooding in Australia, 3) a record cold winter in China, and 4) an energy crisis in South Africa.

***Emission Allowances Price Risk***

The federal Acid Rain Program requires electric generating stations to hold SO<sub>2</sub> allowances, and Pennsylvania regulations implemented the federal NO<sub>x</sub> SIP Call requirement. As part of the acquisition of the Homer City facilities, EME Homer City obtained the rights to the emission allowances that have been or are allocated to these facilities. EME Homer City purchases (or sells) emission allowances based on the amounts required for actual generation in excess of (or less than) the amounts allocated under these programs.

The average price of purchased SO<sub>2</sub> allowances decreased to \$309 per ton during the first six months of 2008 from \$512 per ton during 2007. The price of SO<sub>2</sub> allowances, determined by obtaining broker quotes and information from other public sources, was \$330 per ton as of June 30, 2008. Following the District of Columbia Circuit Court of Appeals’ decision in July 2008, discussed above under “Liquidity and Capital Resources—Environmental Matters and Regulations—Air Quality Regulation—Clean Air Interstate Rule,” the price of SO<sub>2</sub> allowances has further declined.

For a discussion of environmental regulations related to emissions, refer to “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—Environmental Matters and Regulations” of EME Homer City’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

**Accounting for Energy Contracts**

EME Homer City uses a number of energy contracts to manage exposure from changes in the price of electricity, including forward sales and purchases of physical power and forward price swaps which settle only on a financial basis (including futures contracts). EME Homer City follows SFAS No. 133, and under this Standard these energy contracts are generally defined as derivative financial instruments. Importantly, SFAS No. 133 requires changes in the fair value of each derivative financial instrument to be recognized in earnings at the end of each accounting period unless the instrument qualifies for hedge accounting under the terms of SFAS No. 133. For derivatives that do qualify for cash flow hedge accounting, changes in their fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item settles and is recognized in earnings. However, the ineffective portion of a

derivative that qualifies for cash flow hedge accounting is recognized currently in earnings. For further discussion of derivative financial instruments, refer to “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Management’s Overview; Critical Accounting Policies—Critical Accounting Policies—Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities” of EME Homer City’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007.

SFAS No. 133 affects the timing of income recognition, but has no effect on cash flow. To the extent that income varies under SFAS No. 133 from accrual accounting (i.e., revenue recognition based on settlement of transactions), EME Homer City records unrealized gains or losses. EME Homer City classifies unrealized gains and losses from energy contracts as part of operating revenues. The results of derivative activities are recorded as part of cash flows from operating activities in the statements of cash flows. The following table summarizes unrealized gains (losses) for the second quarters of 2008 and 2007 and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2008	2007	2008	2007
	(in millions)			
Non-qualifying hedges . . . . .	\$ 1	\$ 2	\$ 2	\$ 1
Ineffective portion of cash flow hedges . . . . .	(7)	(5)	(9)	(3)
Total unrealized losses . . . . .	<u>\$ (6)</u>	<u>\$ (3)</u>	<u>\$ (7)</u>	<u>\$ (2)</u>

At June 30, 2008, unrealized losses of \$28 million were recognized from non-qualifying hedge contracts or the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges related to subsequent periods (\$10 million for the remainder of 2008, \$11 million for 2009, and \$7 million for 2010).

### Derivative Financial Instruments

The following table summarizes the fair values for outstanding financial instruments used for hedging activities. The decrease in fair value of electricity contracts at June 30, 2008 as compared to December 31, 2007 is attributable to an increase in the average market prices for power as compared to contracted prices at June 30, 2008, which is the valuation date.

	June 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
	(in millions)	
Commodity price:		
Electricity contracts . . . . .	<u>\$ (291)</u>	<u>\$ (46)</u>

In assessing the fair value of EME Homer City’s derivative financial instruments, EMMT uses quoted market prices and forward market prices adjusted for credit risk. The fair value of commodity price contracts takes into account quoted market prices, time value of money, volatility of the underlying commodities and other factors. The following table summarizes the maturities and the

related fair value, primarily based on actively traded prices, of EME Homer City's commodity derivative assets and liabilities as of June 30, 2008:

	<u>Total Fair Value</u>	<u>Maturity &lt;1 year</u>	<u>Maturity 1 to 3 years</u>	<u>Maturity 4 to 5 years</u>	<u>Maturity &gt;5 years</u>
			(in millions)		
Prices actively quoted . . . . .	\$ (288)	\$ (197)	\$ (91)	\$ —	\$ —
Prices based on models and other valuation methods . . . . .	<u>(3)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Total . . . . .	<u>\$ (291)</u>	<u>\$ (197)</u>	<u>\$ (94)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

Prices actively quoted in the preceding table includes derivatives whose fair value is based on quoted market prices and forward market prices adjusted for credit risk.

**Credit Risk**

In conducting EME Homer City's hedging activities, EMMT contracts with a number of utilities, energy companies, financial institutions, and other companies, collectively referred to as counterparties. In the event a counterparty were to default on its trade obligation, EME Homer City would be exposed to the risk of possible loss associated with re-contracting the product at a price different from the original contracted price if the non-performing counterparty were unable to pay the resulting liquidated damages owed to EME Homer City. Further, EME Homer City would be exposed to the risk of non-payment of accounts receivable accrued for products delivered prior to the time a counterparty defaulted.

To manage credit risk, EMMT looks at the risk of a potential default by counterparties. Credit risk is measured by the loss that would be incurred if counterparties failed to perform pursuant to the terms of their contractual obligations. EMMT measures, monitors and mitigates credit risk to the extent possible. To mitigate credit risk from counterparties, master netting agreements are used whenever possible and counterparties may be required to pledge collateral when deemed necessary. EMMT also takes other appropriate steps to limit or lower credit exposure. Processes have also been established to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties. EMMT manages the credit risk on the portfolio based on credit ratings using published ratings of counterparties and other publicly disclosed information, such as financial statements, regulatory filings, and press releases, to guide it in the process of setting credit levels, risk limits and contractual arrangements, including master netting agreements. A risk management committee regularly reviews the credit quality of EMMT's counterparties. Despite this, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be wholly successful in mitigating credit risk or that collateral pledged will be adequate.

In addition, coal for the Homer City facilities is purchased from suppliers under contracts which may be for multiple years. A number of the coal suppliers to the Homer City facilities do not currently have an investment grade credit rating and, accordingly, EME Homer City may have limited recourse to collect damages in the event of default by a supplier. EME Homer City seeks to mitigate this risk through diversification of its coal suppliers and through guarantees and other collateral arrangements when available. Despite this, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful in mitigating credit risk from coal suppliers.

EME Homer City derives a significant source of its operating revenues from electric power sold into the PJM market by EMMT. Sales into PJM accounted for approximately 65% of EME Homer City's operating revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Moody's rates PJM's debt Aa3. PJM,

an independent system operator with over 300 member companies, maintains its own credit risk policies and does not extend unsecured credit to non-investment grade companies. Any losses due to a PJM member default are shared by all other members based upon a predetermined formula. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, a second customer accounted for 27% of EME Homer City's operating revenues.

### **Interest Rate Risk**

EME Homer City has mitigated the risk of interest rate fluctuations by obtaining fixed rate financing on its subordinated revolving loan with Edison Mission Finance. EME Homer City does not believe that interest rate fluctuations will have a material adverse effect on its financial position or results of operations.

### **Regulatory Matters**

For a discussion of EME Homer City's regulatory matters, refer to "Item 1. Business—Regulatory Matters" of EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. There have been no significant developments with respect to regulatory matters specifically affecting EME Homer City since the filing of EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, except as follows:

On April 4, 2008, the FERC issued an order rejecting PJM's request to revise its RPM to reflect PJM's claimed rise in its CONE values. CONE is one of the two components used by PJM to determine its Variable Resource Requirement curve for the RPM auction. PJM also proposed to add a new section to its tariff permitting PJM to unilaterally request a CONE increase for use in its May 2008 RPM auction for the 2011/2012 delivery year. In rejecting the proposal, the FERC found that PJM had not met timing provisions in its existing tariff to provide sufficient time for stakeholder review of the analysis and advance planning and that it had also failed to establish that its proposal to revise that provision was necessary on a one-time emergency basis to ensure reliable service.

The effect of FERC's actions on future RPM auctions cannot be determined at this time. The CONE as established for the May 2008 RPM auction for the 2011/2012 delivery year is lower than the PJM request.

### **ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

For a discussion of market risk sensitive instruments, refer to "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Market Risk Exposures" of EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Refer to "Market Risk Exposures" in Item 2 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for an update to that disclosure.

### **ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

EME Homer City's management, with the participation of the partnership's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of EME Homer City's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer have

concluded that, as of the end of the period, EME Homer City's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

**Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in EME Homer City's internal control over financial reporting (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, EME Homer City's internal control over financial reporting.

## **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

### **ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

There have been no material legal proceedings against EME Homer City in 2008, except as follows:

#### **New Source Review Notice of Violation**

On June 12, 2008, EME Homer City received an NOV from the US EPA alleging that, beginning in 1988, EME Homer City (or former owners of the Homer City facilities) performed repair or replacement projects at Homer City Units 1 and 2 without first obtaining construction permits as required by the Prevention of Significant Deterioration requirements of the Clean Air Act. The US EPA also alleges that EME Homer City has failed to file timely and complete Title V permits. EME Homer City intends to meet with the US EPA to discuss the alleged violations. EME Homer City is investigating the claims made by the US EPA in the NOV and potential responses and cannot predict at this time what effect this matter may have on its facilities, its results of operations, financial position or cash flows. EME Homer City has sought indemnification for liability and defense costs associated with the NOV from the sellers under the asset purchase agreement pursuant to which EME Homer City acquired the Homer City facilities. The sellers responded by denying the indemnity obligation, but accepting the defense of the claims.

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

For a discussion of the risks, uncertainties, and other important factors which could materially affect EME Homer City's business, financial condition, or future results, refer to "Item 1A. Risk Factors" of EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. The risks described in EME Homer City's annual report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing EME Homer City. Additional risks and uncertainties that are not currently known, or that are currently deemed to be immaterial, also may materially adversely affect EME Homer City's business, financial condition or future results.

### **ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
32	Statement Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

