
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2009

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 333-59348

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

33-0868558

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation
or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Financial Place

440 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3500

Chicago, Illinois

60605

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(312) 583-6000**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES NO

Number of units outstanding of the registrant's Membership Interests as of May 8, 2009: 100 units (all units held by an affiliate of the registrant).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Glossary	ii
PART I – Financial Information	
Item 1. Financial Statements	1
Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	18
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	37
Item 4T. Controls and Procedures	37
PART II – Other Information	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	38
Item 1A. Risk Factors	38
Item 6. Exhibits	38
Signatures	39

GLOSSARY

When the following terms and abbreviations appear in the text of this report, they have the meanings indicated below.

Btu	British thermal units
Commonwealth Edison	Commonwealth Edison Company
CONE	cost of new entry
EME	Edison Mission Energy
EMMT	Edison Mission Marketing & Trading, Inc.
FASB	Financial Accounting Standards Board
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
Fitch	Fitch Ratings
GWh	gigawatt-hours
Illinois Plants	EME's largest power plants (fossil fuel), located in Illinois
MD&A	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Midwest Generation	Midwest Generation, LLC
MMBtu	million British thermal units
Moody's	Moody's Investors Service, Inc.
MW	megawatts
MWh	megawatt-hours
NOV	notice of violation
NO _x	nitrogen oxide
PJM	PJM Interconnection, LLC
PRB	Powder River Basin
RPM	Reliability Pricing Model
S&P	Standard & Poor's Ratings Services
SFAS	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards issued by the FASB
SFAS No. 133	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities"
SFAS No. 157	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements"
SFAS No. 161	Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161, "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (an amendment of FASB No. 133)
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(In millions, Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Operating Revenues from Marketing Affiliate	\$ 384	\$ 468
Operating Expenses		
Fuel	123	118
Gain on sale of emission allowances	—	(1)
Plant operations	96	94
Depreciation and amortization	37	36
Gain on buyout of contract and sale of assets	—	(16)
Administrative and general	5	6
Total operating expenses	<u>261</u>	<u>237</u>
Operating income	<u>123</u>	<u>231</u>
Other Income (Expense)		
Interest and other income	29	35
Interest expense	<u>(17)</u>	<u>(22)</u>
Total other income	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>
Income before income taxes	135	244
Provision for income taxes	52	92
Net Income	<u>\$ 83</u>	<u>\$ 152</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(In millions, Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Net Income	\$ 83	\$ 152
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:		
Unrealized gains (losses) on derivatives qualified as cash flow hedges:		
Other unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period, net of income tax expense (benefit) of \$69 million and \$(54) million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively	109	(85)
Reclassification adjustments included in net income, net of income tax expense of \$21 million and \$2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively	(33)	(3)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	76	(88)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 159	\$ 64

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(In millions, Unaudited)

	<u>March 31,</u> <u>2009</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2008</u>
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 793	\$ 650
Due from affiliates	81	163
Fuel inventory	107	81
Spare parts inventory	30	29
Interest receivable from affiliate	28	56
Derivative assets	205	140
Intangible assets	41	52
Other current assets	27	22
Total current assets	<u>1,312</u>	<u>1,193</u>
Property, Plant and Equipment	4,347	4,320
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	<u>1,279</u>	<u>1,242</u>
Net property, plant and equipment	<u>3,068</u>	<u>3,078</u>
Notes receivable from affiliate	1,350	1,352
Long-term derivative assets	99	73
Long-term intangible assets	3	—
Other assets	14	15
Total Assets	<u>\$ 5,846</u>	<u>\$ 5,711</u>
Liabilities and Member's Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 40	\$ 44
Book overdrafts	—	4
Accrued liabilities	56	78
Due to affiliates	15	10
Interest payable	13	31
Derivative liabilities	24	17
Deferred taxes	84	70
Current portion of lease financing	<u>127</u>	<u>126</u>
Total current liabilities	<u>359</u>	<u>380</u>
Lease financing, net of current portion	723	785
Long-term obligations	475	475
Deferred taxes	176	119
Long-term derivative liabilities	10	11
Benefit plans and other long-term liabilities	<u>169</u>	<u>166</u>
Total Liabilities	<u>1,912</u>	<u>1,936</u>
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 7)		
Member's Equity		
Membership interests, no par value; 100 units authorized, issued and outstanding . . .	—	—
Additional paid-in capital	3,511	3,511
Accumulated earnings	204	121
Accumulated other comprehensive income	219	143
Total Member's Equity	<u>3,934</u>	<u>3,775</u>
Total Liabilities and Member's Equity	<u>\$ 5,846</u>	<u>\$ 5,711</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(In millions, Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Cash Flows From Operating Activities		
Net income	\$ 83	\$ 152
Adjustments to reconcile income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	38	37
Gain on buyout of contract and sale of assets	—	(16)
Gain on sale of emission allowances	—	(1)
Deferred taxes	22	6
Decrease (increase) in due to/from affiliates	87	(30)
Increase in inventory	(27)	(2)
Increase in loans to affiliate for margin deposits	—	(51)
Decrease in interest receivable from affiliate	28	28
Increase in other current assets	(5)	(5)
Decrease in other assets	1	2
Decrease (increase) in intangible assets	8	(6)
Decrease in accounts payable and other current liabilities	(30)	—
Decrease in interest payable	(18)	(18)
Increase (decrease) in other liabilities	3	(10)
Decrease in derivative assets and liabilities	39	5
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>229</u>	<u>91</u>
Cash Flows From Financing Activities		
Borrowings on long-term debt	—	75
Cash distributions to parent	—	(35)
Repayment of capital lease obligation	(61)	(58)
Net cash used in financing activities	<u>(61)</u>	<u>(18)</u>
Cash Flows From Investing Activities		
Capital expenditures	(27)	(17)
Proceeds from sale of assets	—	1
Proceeds from sale of emission allowances	—	2
Repayment of loan to affiliate	2	2
Net cash used in investing activities	<u>(25)</u>	<u>(12)</u>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	143	61
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	650	65
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 793</u>	<u>\$ 126</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

MIDWEST GENERATION, LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2009
(Unaudited)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

Midwest Generation's significant accounting policies are described in "Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" on page 70 of Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Midwest Generation follows the same accounting policies for interim reporting purposes, with the exception of accounting principles adopted as of January 1, 2009 as discussed below in "—New Accounting Pronouncements." This quarterly report should be read in conjunction with such financial statements.

In the opinion of management, all adjustments, including recurring accruals, have been made that are necessary to fairly state the consolidated financial position and results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for the periods covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

Related Party

Included in interest and other income on Midwest Generation's consolidated statements of income is \$28 million and \$29 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to interest income from affiliates.

Restricted Cash

Certain cash balances are restricted to provide collateral for fuel suppliers. The total restricted cash included in other assets on Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheet, composed entirely of collateral reserves, was \$3 million at March 31, 2009 and \$4 million at December 31, 2008.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting Principles Adopted

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157—

Effective January 1, 2009, Midwest Generation adopted SFAS No. 157 for nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and liabilities. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 for nonrecurring fair value measurements had no impact on Midwest Generation's consolidated financial statements.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 161—

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, which requires additional disclosures related to derivative instruments, including how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. Midwest Generation adopted this pronouncement effective January 1, 2009. Since SFAS No. 161 impacts disclosures only, the adoption of this standard did not have an impact on Midwest Generation's consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows. For additional information regarding the adoption of SFAS No. 161, see Note 3—Derivative Instruments.

FSP SFAS No. 142-3—

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 142-3, “Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets,” which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets.” The intent of the position is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the asset under SFAS No. 141(R) and other GAAP. Midwest Generation adopted this pronouncement effective January 1, 2009. The adoption of this position had no impact on Midwest Generation’s consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Accounting Principles Not Yet Adopted

FSP SFAS No. 132(R)-1—

In December 2008, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 132(R)-1, “Employers’ Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets.” This position requires additional plan asset disclosures about the major categories of assets, the inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value, the level within the fair value hierarchy, the effect of using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) and significant concentrations of risk. This position is effective for years ending after December 15, 2009 and therefore, Midwest Generation will adopt FSP SFAS No. 132(R)-1 at year-end 2009. FSP SFAS No. 132(R)-1 will impact disclosures only and will not have an impact on Midwest Generation’s consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

FSP SFAS No. 157-4—

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 157-4, “Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions that Are Not Orderly.” FSP SFAS No. 157-4 affirms the objective of a fair value measurement, which is to identify the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction at the measurement date between market participants (“exit price”) in the current inactive market. FSP SFAS No. 157-4 includes guidance on identifying circumstances that indicate when there is no active market or transactions where the price inputs being used represent distressed or forced sales. If either of these conditions exists, FSP SFAS No. 157-4 provides additional direction for estimating fair value and requires disclosure of a change in valuation technique (and the related inputs) resulting from the application of this position and to quantify its effects, if practicable. Midwest Generation will adopt FSP SFAS No. 157-4 in the second quarter of 2009 and is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of this position could have on its consolidated financial statements.

FSP SFAS No. 115-2—

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 115-2, “Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments.” FSP SFAS No. 115-2 changes existing guidance for determining whether impairment is other than temporary for debt securities. Under FSP SFAS No. 115-2, an entity would write down to fair value through earnings, impaired debt securities that it currently intends to sell or for which it is more likely than not it will have to sell before recovery. If an entity does not intend and will not be required to sell a debt security but it is probable that the entity will not collect all amounts due, the entity will separate the other-than-temporary impairment into two components: 1) the amount due to credit loss would be recognized in earnings, and 2) the remaining portion would be recognized in other comprehensive income. Midwest Generation will adopt FSP SFAS No. 115-2 in the second quarter of 2009 and is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of this position could have on its consolidated financial statements.

FSP SFAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1—

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1, “Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments.” This position requires disclosures about the fair value of all financial instruments, for which it is practicable to estimate that fair value, for interim reporting periods as well as annual statements. Midwest Generation will adopt this position in the second quarter of 2009. Since FSP SFAS No. 107-1 and APB No. 28-1 impacts disclosure only, the adoption of this position will not have an impact on Midwest Generation’s consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Note 2. Fair Value Measurements

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (referred to as an “exit price” in SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 clarifies that a fair value measurement for a liability should reflect the entity’s nonperformance risk. In addition, SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under SFAS No. 157 are:

- Level 1—Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical assets and liabilities;
- Level 2—Pricing inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the derivative instrument; and
- Level 3—Prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurements and unobservable.

Midwest Generation’s assets and liabilities carried at fair value primarily consist of derivative contracts and money market funds. Derivative contracts primarily relate to power and include contracts for forward physical sales and purchases, options and forward price swaps which settle only on a financial basis (including futures contracts). Derivative contracts can be exchange traded or over-the-counter traded.

The fair value of derivative contracts takes into account quoted market prices, time value of money, volatility of the underlying commodities and other factors. Derivatives that are exchange traded in active markets for identical assets or liabilities are classified as Level 1. The majority of derivative contracts used for hedging purposes are based on forward market prices in active markets (Northern Illinois Hub peak and AEP/Dayton) adjusted for nonperformance risks. EMMT obtains forward market prices from traded exchanges (ICE Futures U.S. or New York Mercantile Exchange) and available broker quotes. Then, EMMT selects a primary source that best represents traded activity for each market to develop observable forward market prices in determining the fair value of these positions. Broker quotes or prices from exchanges are used to validate and corroborate the primary source. These price quotations reflect mid-market prices (average of bid and ask) and are obtained from sources that EMMT believes to provide the most liquid market for the commodity. EMMT considers broker quotes to be observable when corroborated with other information which may include a combination of prices from exchanges, other brokers, and comparison to executed trades. The majority of the fair value of Midwest Generation’s derivative contracts determined in this manner are classified as Level 2.

Derivatives that trade infrequently (such as financial transmission rights and over-the-counter derivatives at illiquid locations) and derivatives with counterparties that have significant nonperformance risks, as discussed below, are classified as Level 3. For illiquid financial transmission rights, Midwest Generation reviews objective criteria related to system congestion on a quarterly basis

and other underlying drivers and adjusts fair value when Midwest Generation concludes a change in objective criteria would result in a new valuation that better reflects the fair value. Changes in fair values are based on the hypothetical sale of illiquid positions. In circumstances where Midwest Generation cannot verify fair value with observable market transactions, it is possible that a different valuation model could produce a materially different estimate of fair value. As markets continue to develop and more pricing information becomes available, Midwest Generation continues to assess valuation methodologies used to determine fair value.

In assessing nonperformance risks, Midwest Generation reviews credit ratings of counterparties (and related default rates based on such credit ratings) and prices of credit default swaps. The market price (or premium) for credit default swaps represents the price that a counterparty would pay to transfer the risk of default, typically bankruptcy, to another party. A credit default swap is not directly comparable to the credit risks of derivative contracts, but provides market information of the related risk of nonperformance. Midwest Generation reduced the fair value of derivative assets for nonperformance risks by \$6 million at March 31, 2009.

Investments in money market funds are generally classified as Level 1 as fair value is determined by observable market prices (unadjusted) in active markets.

The following table sets forth Midwest Generation's assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008:

As of March 31, 2009	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting and Collateral(2)	Total
	(in millions)				
Assets at Fair Value					
Money market funds(1)	\$ 793	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 793
Derivative contracts	8	382	13	(99)	304
Liabilities at Fair Value					
Derivative contracts	\$ —	\$ (14)	\$ (6)	\$ (14)	\$ (34)
As of December 31, 2008	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting and Collateral(2)	Total
	(in millions)				
Assets at Fair Value					
Money market funds(1)	\$ 650	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 650
Derivative contracts	—	264	2	(53)	213
Liabilities at Fair Value					
Derivative contracts	\$ —	\$ (21)	\$ (1)	\$ (6)	\$ (28)

(1) Included in cash and cash equivalents on Midwest Generation's consolidated balance sheet.

(2) Represents cash collateral and the impact of netting across the levels of the fair value hierarchy. Netting among positions classified within the same level is included in that level.

The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of Midwest Generation's Level 3 derivative contracts, net for the period ended March 31, 2009:

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
	(in millions)	
Fair value of derivative contracts, net at January 1, 2009 and 2008	\$ 1	\$ 3
Total realized/unrealized gains (losses):		
Included in earnings(1)	25	(14)
Included in accumulated other comprehensive loss	—	(3)
Purchases and settlements, net	(18)	8
Transfers in or out of Level 3	(1)	(1)
Fair value of derivative contracts, net at March 31, 2009 and 2008	<u>\$ 7</u>	<u>\$ (7)</u>
Change during the periods in unrealized gains (losses) related to derivative contracts, net held at March 31, 2009 and 2008(1)	<u>\$ 6</u>	<u>\$ (5)</u>

(1) Reported in operating revenues on Midwest Generation's consolidated statements of income.

Note 3. Derivative Instruments

Midwest Generation uses derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in the price of electricity, capacity and fuel, emission allowances and transmission rights which may impact cash flow from its power plant operations. To the extent that Midwest Generation does not use derivative instruments to hedge these price risks, the unhedged portions will be subject to the risks and benefits of spot market price movements. Hedge transactions are primarily entered into using derivative instruments including:

- futures contracts cleared on the Intercontinental Trading Exchange and the New York Mercantile Exchange or executed bilaterally with counterparties,
- forward sales transactions entered into on a bilateral basis with third parties, including electric utilities, power marketing companies and financial institutions,
- full requirements services contracts or load requirements services contracts for the procurement of power for electric utilities' customers, with such services providing for the delivery of a bundled product including, but not limited to, energy, transmission, capacity, and ancillary services, generally for a fixed unit price, and
- capacity transactions, including participation in capacity auctions.

The extent to which Midwest Generation hedges its market price risk depends on several factors. First, Midwest Generation evaluates over-the-counter market prices to determine if forward market prices are sufficiently attractive compared to the risks associated with the fluctuating spot market. Second, Midwest Generation evaluates the sufficiency of its and EMMT's credit capacity and whether the forward sales markets have sufficient liquidity to enable Midwest Generation to identify appropriate counterparties for hedge transactions. Hedge transactions entered into by Midwest Generation are accounted for under SFAS No. 133.

SFAS No. 133, as amended and interpreted by accounting literature, establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments (including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts). SFAS No. 133 requires a company to record derivatives on its balance sheets as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value unless otherwise exempted from derivative treatment as a normal sale and purchase. Under SFAS No. 133, all changes in the fair value of derivative instruments are recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge criteria are met, which requires that Midwest Generation formally document, designate, and assess the effectiveness of transactions that receive hedge accounting.

SFAS No. 133 sets forth the accounting requirements for cash flow hedges. SFAS No. 133 provides that the effective portion of gains or losses on derivative instruments designated and qualifying as cash flow hedges be reported as a component of other comprehensive income and be reclassified into earnings in the same period during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The remaining gains or losses on the derivative instruments, if any, must be recognized currently in earnings.

Many of the derivative instruments entered into for risk management purposes (also referred to as non-trading purposes) meet the requirements for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133. However, not all derivative instruments entered into for risk management purposes will qualify for hedge accounting treatment. Furthermore, Midwest Generation utilizes derivative contracts that are designed to adjust financial and/or physical positions that reduce costs or increase gross margin. Accordingly, risk management positions may not be designated as cash flow hedges and are thus marked to market through current period earnings (derivatives that are entered into for risk management, but which are not designated as cash flow hedges, are referred to as economic hedges).

SFAS No. 133 affects the timing of income recognition, but has no effect on cash flow. To the extent that income varies under SFAS No. 133 from accrual accounting (i.e., revenue recognition based on the settlement of transactions), Midwest Generation records unrealized gains or losses. Midwest Generation classifies unrealized gains and losses from energy contracts in operating revenues. In addition, the results of derivative activities are recorded in cash flows from operating activities in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Where Midwest Generation's derivative instruments are subject to a master netting agreement and the criteria of FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 39 "Offsetting of Amounts Related to Certain Contracts" are met, Midwest Generation presents its derivative assets and liabilities on a net basis in its balance sheet.

Notional Volumes of Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes the notional volumes of derivatives used for hedging activities:

<u>Commodity</u>	<u>Instrument</u>	<u>Classification</u>	<u>Unit of Measure</u>	<u>Cash Flow Hedges</u>	<u>Economic Hedges</u>
Electricity	Forwards	Sales	GWh	14,129(1)	16,046(3)
Electricity	Forwards	Purchases	GWh	—	15,055(3)
Electricity	Capacity	Sales	MW-Day (in thousands)	315(2)	—
Electricity	Capacity	Purchases	MW-Day (in thousands)	288(2)	—
Electricity	Congestion	Purchases	GWh	—	669(4)
Natural gas	Forwards	Sales	billion cubic feet	—	9.2
Fuel oil	Forwards	Purchases	Barrels	—	25,200,000

- (1) Includes forward and futures contracts that qualify for hedge accounting under SFAS No. 133. This category excludes power contracts for the Illinois Plants which meet the normal sales and purchase exception under SFAS No. 133 and are accounted for on the accrual method.
- (2) Midwest Generation's hedge transactions for capacity result from bilateral trades prior to PJM RPM auctions. Capacity sold in the PJM RPM auction is not accounted for as a derivative under SFAS No. 133.
- (3) Midwest Generation also entered into transactions that adjust financial and physical positions, or day-ahead and real-time positions to reduce costs or increase gross margin. These positions largely

offset each other. The net sales positions of these categories are primarily related to hedge transactions that are not designated as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133.

- (4) Congestion contracts are financial transmission rights, transmission congestion contracts or congestion revenue rights. These positions are similar to a swap, where the buyer, is entitled to receive a stream of revenues (or charges) based on the hourly day-ahead price differences between two locations.

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes the gross fair value of commodity derivative instruments for non-trading purposes at March 31, 2009:

	Derivative Assets			Derivative Liabilities			Net Assets
	Short-term	Long-term	Subtotal	Short-term	Long-term	Subtotal	
	(in millions)						
Cash flow hedges	\$ 259	\$ 141	\$ 400	\$ 17	\$ 15	\$ 32	\$ 368
Economic hedges	204	114	318	197	106	303	15
	\$ 463	\$ 255	\$ 718	\$ 214	\$ 121	\$ 335	\$ 383
Netting and collateral received	(258)	(156)	(414)	(190)	(111)	(301)	(113)
Total	<u>\$ 205</u>	<u>\$ 99</u>	<u>\$ 304</u>	<u>\$ 24</u>	<u>\$ 10</u>	<u>\$ 34</u>	<u>\$ 270</u>

Income Statement Impact of Derivative Instruments

The following table provides the activity of accumulated other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2009, containing the information about the changes in the fair value of cash flow hedges and reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income into results of operations:

	Cash Flow Hedge Activity(1)	Income Statement Location
	(in millions)	
Accumulated other comprehensive income derivative gain at December 31, 2008	\$268	
Effective portion of changes in fair value	178	
Reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive income to net income	<u>(54)</u>	Operating revenues(2)
Accumulated other comprehensive income derivative gain at March 31, 2009	<u>\$392</u>	

(1) Unrealized derivative gains are before income taxes. The after-tax amounts recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$240 million and \$164 million, respectively.

(2) Represents reclassification of unrealized gains to operating revenues.

Under SFAS No. 133, the portion of a cash flow hedge that does not offset the change in the value of the transaction being hedged, which is commonly referred to as the ineffective portion, is immediately recognized in earnings. Midwest Generation recorded net losses of \$1 million and \$7 million during the first quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively, representing the amount of cash flow hedge ineffectiveness and are reflected in operating revenues in the income statement.

The effect of realized and unrealized gains from derivative instruments used for non-trading purposes on the consolidated statement of income for the period ended March 31, 2009 is presented below:

<u>Type</u>	<u>Income Statement Location</u>	<u>Amount</u> (in millions)
Economic hedges	Operating revenues	\$13

Contingent Features/Credit Related Exposure

Midwest Generation sells merchant energy and capacity and purchases its natural gas through EMMT, which currently has a below investment grade credit rating. Midwest Generation currently has cash on hand to provide credit support as needed for hedging contracts entered into by EMMT related to the Illinois Plants. EMMT borrows under its revolving credit agreement with Midwest Generation to provide credit support for futures and forward contracts. Loans provided under this revolving credit agreement are repaid by EMMT upon the return of the funds under the terms of the related forward contracts. The amount repaid includes interest earned, if any, under margining agreements supporting such contracts. As of March 31, 2009, EMMT had no borrowings outstanding under this revolving credit agreement.

Midwest Generation has entered into derivative contracts directly with third parties that do not require margining, but contain provisions that require Midwest Generation to comply with the terms and conditions of its credit facility. The credit facility contains financial covenants. Some hedge contracts include provisions related to a change in control or material adverse effect resulting from amendments or modifications to the related credit facility. Failure by Midwest Generation to comply with these provisions may result in a termination event under the hedge contracts, enabling the counterparties to terminate and liquidate all outstanding transactions and demand immediate payment of amounts owed to them. EMMT also has hedge contracts that do not require margining, but contain the right of each party to request additional credit support in the form of adequate assurance of performance in the case of an adverse development affecting the other party. The aggregate fair value of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features is in an asset position at March 31, 2009 and, accordingly, the contingent features described above do not currently have a liquidity exposure. Future increases in power prices could expose Midwest Generation to termination payments or additional collateral postings under the contingent features described above.

Collateral Deposits

Collateral deposits include cash collateral received from counterparties and brokers as credit support under derivative contracts. The amount of collateral deposits generally varies based on changes in fair value of the related positions. In accordance with FIN No. 39-1, Midwest Generation presents a portion of its cash collateral deposits net with its derivative positions on its consolidated balance sheets. Amounts recognized for cash collateral received from others that have been offset against net derivative assets totaled \$113 million at March 31, 2009 and \$59 million at December 31, 2008.

Note 4. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

Accumulated other comprehensive income consisted of the following:

	<u>Unrealized Gains on Cash Flow Hedges</u>	<u>Unrecognized Gains (Losses) and Prior Service Adjustments, Net(1)</u> (in millions)	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income</u>
Balance at December 31, 2008	\$ 164	\$ (21)	\$ 143
Current period change	<u>76</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>76</u>
Balance at March 31, 2009	<u>\$ 240</u>	<u>\$ (21)</u>	<u>\$ 219</u>

(1) For further detail, see Note 5—Compensation and Benefit Plans.

Unrealized gains on cash flow hedges, net of tax, at March 31, 2009, included unrealized gains on commodity hedges related to futures and forward electricity contracts that qualify for hedge accounting. These gains arise because current forecasts of future electricity prices are lower than the contract prices. As Midwest Generation's hedged positions are realized, \$159 million, after tax, of the net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges at March 31, 2009 are expected to be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months. Management expects that reclassification of net unrealized gains will increase energy revenue recognized at market prices. Actual amounts ultimately reclassified into earnings over the next 12 months could vary materially from this estimated amount as a result of changes in market conditions. The maximum period over which a cash flow hedge is designated is through December 31, 2011.

Note 5. Compensation and Benefit Plans

Pension Plans and Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

Pension Plans

As of March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had made approximately \$0.4 million in contributions to its pension plans and estimates to make \$5.6 million of contributions in the last nine months of 2009.

The following are components of pension expense:

	<u>Three Months Ended March 31,</u>	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
	(in millions)	
Service cost	\$ 2.6	\$ 3.1
Interest cost	1.5	1.6
Expected return on plan assets	(1.0)	(1.5)
Amortization of prior service costs	—	0.1
Amortization of net loss (gain)	<u>0.3</u>	<u>(0.1)</u>
Total expense	<u>\$ 3.4</u>	<u>\$ 3.2</u>

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

As of March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had made approximately \$0.2 million in contributions to its postretirement benefits other than pensions and estimates to make \$0.4 million of contributions in the last nine months of 2009.

The following are components of postretirement benefits expense:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Service cost	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.2
Interest cost	0.6	0.5
Amortization of prior service credit	(0.1)	(0.2)
Amortization of net loss	0.2	0.1
Total expense	<u>\$ 0.9</u>	<u>\$ 0.6</u>

Note 6. Income Taxes

Midwest Generation had an effective income tax provision rate of 38% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. Midwest Generation's effective income tax rate varies from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes and estimated benefits from a federal deduction related to qualified domestic production activities under Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Note 7. Commitments and Contingencies

Commitments

Capital Improvements

At March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had firm commitments to spend approximately \$49 million on capital expenditures during the remainder of 2009 and \$12 million in 2010. These expenditures are primarily related to non-environmental improvements such as boiler components, mill steam inerting projects, generator stator rewinds, 4kV switchgear, and main power transformer. These capital expenditures are planned to be financed by cash on hand and cash generated from operations.

Fuel Supply Contracts

At March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had fuel purchase commitments with various third-party suppliers for the purchase of coal. Based on the contract provisions, which consist of fixed prices subject to adjustment clauses, these minimum commitments are currently estimated to aggregate \$315 million, summarized as follows: remainder of 2009—\$183 million and 2010—\$132 million.

Interconnection Agreement

Midwest Generation has entered into interconnection agreements with Commonwealth Edison to provide interconnection services necessary to connect the Illinois Plants with its transmission systems. Unless terminated earlier in accordance with their terms, the interconnection agreements will terminate on a date mutually agreed to by both parties. Midwest Generation is required to compensate Commonwealth Edison for all reasonable costs associated with any modifications, additions or replacements made to the interconnection facilities or transmission systems in connection with any modification, addition or upgrade to the Illinois Plants.

Guarantees and Indemnities

Tax Indemnity Agreement

In connection with the sale-leaseback transactions related to the Powerton and Joliet Stations and previously the Collins Station, EME, Midwest Generation and another wholly owned subsidiary of EME entered into tax indemnity agreements. Although the Collins Station lease terminated in April 2004, Midwest Generation's tax indemnity agreement with the former lease equity investor is still in effect. Under these tax indemnity agreements, these entities agreed to indemnify the lessors in the sale-leaseback transactions for specified adverse tax consequences that could result in certain situations set forth in the tax indemnity agreement, including specified defaults under the respective leases. The potential indemnity obligation under these tax indemnity agreements could be significant. Due to the nature of these potential obligations, Midwest Generation cannot determine a maximum potential liability which would be triggered by a valid claim from the lessors. Midwest Generation has not recorded a liability related to these indemnities.

Indemnities Provided as Part of the Acquisition from Commonwealth Edison

In connection with the acquisition of the Illinois Plants, Midwest Generation agreed to indemnify Commonwealth Edison with respect to specified environmental liabilities before and after December 15, 1999, the date of sale. The indemnification claims are reduced by any insurance proceeds and tax benefits related to such claims and are subject to a requirement that Commonwealth Edison takes all reasonable steps to mitigate losses related to any such indemnification claim. Due to the nature of the obligation under this indemnity, a maximum potential liability cannot be determined. This indemnification for environmental liabilities is not limited in term and would be triggered by a valid claim from Commonwealth Edison. Commonwealth Edison has advised Midwest Generation that Commonwealth Edison believes it is entitled to indemnification for all liabilities, costs, and expenses that it may be required to bear as a result of the NOV discussed below under “—Contingencies—New Source Review Notice of Violation” and potential litigation by private groups related to the NOV. Except as discussed below, Midwest Generation has not recorded a liability related to the environmental indemnity specified in the acquisition agreement.

Midwest Generation entered into a supplemental agreement with Commonwealth Edison and Exelon Generation Company, LLC on February 20, 2003 to resolve a dispute regarding interpretation of its reimbursement obligation for asbestos claims under the environmental indemnities set forth in the Asset Sale Agreement. Under this supplemental agreement, Midwest Generation agreed to reimburse Commonwealth Edison and Exelon Generation for 50% of specific asbestos claims pending as of February 2003 and related expenses less recovery of insurance costs, and agreed to a sharing arrangement for liabilities and expenses associated with future asbestos-related claims as specified in the agreement. As a general matter, Commonwealth Edison and Midwest Generation apportion responsibility for future asbestos-related claims based upon the number of exposure sites that are Commonwealth Edison locations or Midwest Generation locations. The obligations under this agreement are not subject to a maximum liability. The supplemental agreement had an initial five-year term with an automatic renewal provision for subsequent one-year terms (subject to the right of either party to terminate); pursuant to the automatic renewal provision, it has been extended until February 2010. There were approximately 238 cases for which Midwest Generation was potentially liable and that had not been settled and dismissed at March 31, 2009. Midwest Generation had recorded a \$52 million liability at March 31, 2009, related to this matter.

The amounts recorded by Midwest Generation for the asbestos-related liability are based upon a number of assumptions. Future events, such as the number of new claims to be filed each year, the average cost of disposing of claims, as well as the numerous uncertainties surrounding asbestos litigation in the United States, could cause the actual costs to be higher or lower than projected.

Contingencies

RPM Buyers' Complaint

On May 30, 2008, a group of entities referring to themselves as the "RPM Buyers" filed a complaint at the FERC asking that PJM's RPM, as implemented through the transitional base residual auctions establishing capacity payments for the period from June 1, 2008 through May 31, 2011, be found to have produced unjust and unreasonable capacity prices. On September 19, 2008, the FERC dismissed the RPM Buyers' complaint, finding that the RPM Buyers had failed to allege or prove that any party violated PJM's tariff and market rules, and that the prices determined during the transition period were determined in accordance with PJM's FERC-approved tariff. On October 20, 2008, the RPM Buyers requested rehearing of the FERC's order dismissing their complaint. This matter is currently pending before the FERC. Midwest Generation cannot predict the outcome of this matter.

New Source Review Notice of Violation

On August 3, 2007, Midwest Generation received an NOV from the US EPA alleging that, beginning in the early 1990s and into 2003, Midwest Generation or Commonwealth Edison performed repair or replacement projects at six Illinois coal-fired electric generating stations in violation of the Prevention of Significant Deterioration requirements and of the New Source Performance Standards of the Clean Air Act, including alleged requirements to obtain a construction permit and to install best available control technology at the time of the projects. The US EPA also alleges that Midwest Generation and Commonwealth Edison violated certain operating permit requirements under Title V of the Clean Air Act. Finally, the US EPA alleges violations of certain opacity and particulate matter standards at the Illinois Plants. The NOV does not specify the penalties or other relief that the US EPA seeks for the alleged violations. Midwest Generation, Commonwealth Edison, the US EPA, and the DOJ are in talks designed to explore the possibility of a settlement. If the settlement talks fail and the DOJ files suit, litigation could take many years to resolve the issues alleged in the NOV. Midwest Generation cannot predict the outcome of this matter or estimate the impact on its facilities, its results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

On August 13, 2007, Midwest Generation and Commonwealth Edison received a letter signed by several Chicago-based environmental action groups stating that, in light of the NOV, the groups are examining the possibility of filing a citizen suit against Midwest Generation and Commonwealth Edison based presumably on the same or similar theories advanced by the US EPA in the NOV.

By letter dated August 8, 2007, Commonwealth Edison advised Midwest Generation that Commonwealth Edison believes it is entitled to indemnification for all liabilities, costs, and expenses that it may be required to bear as a result of the NOV. By letter dated August 16, 2007, Commonwealth Edison tendered a request for indemnification to Midwest Generation for all liabilities, costs, and expenses that Commonwealth Edison may be required to bear if the environmental groups were to file suit. Midwest Generation and Commonwealth Edison are cooperating with one another in responding to the NOV.

Environmental Matters and Regulations

The construction and operation of power plants are subject to environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities. Midwest Generation believes that it is in substantial compliance with existing environmental regulatory requirements. However, possible future developments, such as the promulgation of more stringent environmental laws and regulations, future proceedings that may be initiated by environmental and other regulatory authorities, cases in which new theories of liability are recognized, and settlements agreed to by other companies that establish precedent or expectations for the power industry, could affect the costs and the manner in which Midwest Generation conducts its businesses and could require substantial additional capital or operational expenditures or the ceasing of operations at certain of its facilities. There is no assurance that Midwest Generation's financial position

and results of operations would not be materially adversely affected. Midwest Generation is unable to predict the precise extent to which additional laws and regulations may affect its future operations and capital expenditure requirements. For a more complete discussion of Midwest Generation’s environmental contingencies, refer to “Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Environmental Matters and Regulations” on page 95 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Typically, environmental laws and regulations require a lengthy and complex process for obtaining licenses, permits and approvals prior to construction, operation or modification of a project or generating facility. Meeting all the necessary requirements can delay or sometimes prevent the completion of a proposed project, as well as require extensive modifications to existing projects, which may involve significant capital or operational expenditures. If Midwest Generation fails to comply with applicable environmental laws, it may be subject to injunctive relief or penalties and fines imposed by federal and state regulatory authorities.

With respect to Midwest Generation’s potential liabilities arising under Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, also known as CERCLA, or similar laws for the investigation and remediation of contaminated property, Midwest Generation accrues a liability to the extent the costs are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Midwest Generation has accrued approximately \$4 million at March 31, 2009 for estimated environmental investigation and remediation costs for the Illinois Plants. This estimate is based upon the number of sites, the scope of work and the estimated costs for investigation and/or remediation where such expenditures could be reasonably estimated. Future estimated costs may vary based on changes in regulations or requirements of federal, state, or local governmental agencies, changes in technology, and actual costs of disposal. In addition, future remediation costs will be affected by the nature and extent of contamination discovered at the sites that requires remediation. Given the prior history of the operations at its facilities, Midwest Generation cannot be certain that the existence or extent of all contamination at its sites has been fully identified. However, based on available information, management believes that future costs in excess of the amounts disclosed on all known and quantifiable environmental contingencies will not be material to Midwest Generation’s financial position.

Note 8. Supplemental Cash Flows Information

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Cash paid		
Interest	\$ 33	\$ 35
Income taxes	—	50

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This MD&A contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements reflect Midwest Generation's current expectations and projections about future events based on Midwest Generation's knowledge of present facts and circumstances and assumptions about future events and include any statement that does not directly relate to a historical or current fact. Other information distributed by Midwest Generation that is incorporated in this report, or that refers to or incorporates this report, may also contain forward-looking statements. In this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, the words "expects," "believes," "anticipates," "estimates," "projects," "intends," "plans," "probable," "may," "will," "could," "would," "should," and variations of such words and similar expressions, or discussions of strategy or plans, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements necessarily involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Some of the risks, uncertainties and other important factors that could cause results to differ, or that otherwise could impact Midwest Generation or its subsidiaries, include but are not limited to:

- Midwest Generation's ability to borrow funds and access capital markets on reasonable terms, particularly in light of current credit conditions in the capital markets and uncertainty over the global economic outlook;*
- the effect of current economic conditions on the availability and creditworthiness of counterparties, and the resulting effects on liquidity in the power and fuel markets in which Midwest Generation operates and/or the ability of counterparties to pay amounts owed in excess of collateral provided in support of their obligations;*
- supply and demand for electric capacity and energy, and the resulting prices and dispatch volumes, in the wholesale markets to which Midwest Generation's generating units have access;*
- the cost and availability of fuel and fuel transportation services;*
- market volatility and other market conditions that could increase Midwest Generation's obligations to post collateral beyond the amounts currently expected, and the potential effect of such conditions on the ability of Midwest Generation to provide sufficient collateral in support of its hedging activities and purchases of fuel;*
- the cost and availability of emission credits or allowances;*
- transmission congestion in and to each market area and the resulting differences in prices between delivery points;*
- governmental, statutory, regulatory or administrative changes or initiatives affecting Midwest Generation or the electricity industry generally, including market structure rules and price mitigation strategies;*
- environmental laws and regulations, at both state and federal levels, or changes in the application of those laws, that could require additional expenditures or otherwise affect Midwest Generation's cost and manner of doing business;*
- the extent of additional supplies of capacity, energy and ancillary services from current competitors or new market entrants, including the development of new generation facilities, and technologies that may be able to produce electricity at a lower cost than Midwest Generation's generating facilities and/or increased access by competitors to Midwest Generation's markets as a result of transmission upgrades;*
- the difficulty of predicting wholesale prices, transmission congestion, energy demand, and other aspects of the complex and volatile markets in which Midwest Generation participates;*

- *operating risks, including equipment failure, availability, heat rate, output, availability and cost of spare parts, and costs of repairs and retrofits;*
- *creditworthiness of suppliers and their ability to deliver goods and services under their contractual obligations to Midwest Generation or to pay damages if they fail to fulfill those obligations;*
- *effects of legal proceedings, changes in or interpretations of tax laws, rates or policies, and changes in accounting standards;*
- *general political, economic and business conditions; and*
- *weather conditions, natural disasters and other unforeseen events.*

Additional information about risks and uncertainties, including more detail about the factors described above, is contained throughout this MD&A and in “Item 1A. Risk Factors” on page 14 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Readers are urged to read this entire quarterly report on Form 10-Q and carefully consider the risks, uncertainties and other factors that affect Midwest Generation’s business. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and Midwest Generation is not obligated to publicly update or revise forward-looking statements. Readers should review future reports filed by Midwest Generation with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

This MD&A discusses material changes in the results of operations, financial condition and other developments of Midwest Generation since December 31, 2008, and as compared to the first quarter ended March 31, 2008. This discussion presumes that the reader has read or has access to the MD&A included in Item 7 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

This MD&A is presented in four sections:

	<u>Page</u>
Management’s Overview; Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates	19
Results of Operations	21
Liquidity and Capital Resources	24
Market Risk Exposures	29

MANAGEMENT’S OVERVIEW; CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Management’s Overview

Introduction

Midwest Generation is a Delaware limited liability company formed on July 12, 1999 for the purpose of owning or leasing, making improvements to, and operating and selling the capacity and energy of, the power generation assets it purchased from Commonwealth Edison, which are referred to as the Illinois Plants.

Midwest Generation is currently operating 5,776 MW of power plants, based on installed capacity acknowledged by PJM, consisting of:

- six coal-fired generating plants consisting of 5,471 MW, which include the Powerton, Joliet, Will County, Waukegan, Crawford and Fisk Stations; and
- the Fisk and Waukegan on-site generating peakers consisting of 305 MW.

The energy and capacity from the Illinois Plants are sold under terms, including price and quantity, arranged by EMMT, an EME subsidiary engaged in the power marketing and trading business, with customers through a combination of bilateral agreements, forward energy sales and spot market sales. For further discussion of forward market prices, see “Market Risk Exposures.”

Economic Conditions and Commodity Prices

Continuing economic recessionary conditions, among other things, were a contributing factor to a decline in electrical demand for Northern Illinois during the first quarter of 2009. The electrical load, calculated from published data by PJM, for this location declined 6%, compared to the first quarter of 2008. The decline in natural gas prices together with lower electrical demand have resulted in significantly lower energy prices. Furthermore, spot energy prices affecting the Illinois Plants were adversely impacted by congestion affecting power exported from the Northern Illinois control area. The average 24-hour PJM market price for energy at the Northern Illinois Hub declined to \$34.06/MWh during the first quarter of 2009 as compared to \$53.38/MWh during the first quarter of 2008. In the first quarter of 2009, the average realized energy price per MWh was higher than the average 24-hour PJM market price due to higher hedge prices. As reflected in the Overview of Midwest Generation's Operating Performance, below, these factors had an adverse impact on the results of operations during the first quarter of 2009.

Environmental Compliance Costs and Plans

As discussed in the 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Midwest Generation is subject to various commitments with respect to environmental compliance for its Illinois Plants. Midwest Generation is testing selective non-catalytic NO_x removal technologies and reagent based SO₂ removal technologies that may be employed to meet compliance requirements. These technologies would be deployed at the Illinois Plants in a manner which could optimize compliance during 2010 through 2015, subject to approval of construction permits by the Illinois EPA. A decision regarding whether or not to proceed with the alternative compliance program will occur following completion of testing and evaluation of results. Under the current conditions, Midwest Generation cannot predict what specific method will be used or the costs that will be incurred to comply with the Combined Pollutant Standard.

Overview of Midwest Generation's Operating Performance

Midwest Generation's net income for the first quarter of 2009 was \$83 million, compared to \$152 million for the first quarter of 2008. The decrease in earnings was primarily attributable to a decrease in realized gross margin of \$109 million (pre-tax). Realized gross margin was affected by the following factors:

- lower generation and lower average realized energy prices due to lower energy prices and increased congestion (for more information, see "Management's Overview; Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Management's Overview—Economic Conditions and Commodity Prices");
- higher fuel costs due to annual NO_x emission allowance costs commencing in the first quarter of 2009, operations of mercury controls and an increase in the cost of coal; and
- higher capacity revenue primarily due to higher capacity prices in the RPM auction.

In addition, earnings were lower in 2009 due to a gain of \$15 million (pre-tax) recorded in 2008 related to the buyout of a fuel contract and an estimated insurance recovery of approximately \$6 million (pre-tax) recorded in 2008 primarily related to the outages at the Powerton Station.

For further discussion of Midwest Generation's operating results, see "Results of Operations."

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

For a discussion of Midwest Generation's critical accounting policies, refer to "Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates" in Item 7 on page 30 of Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Summary

The table below summarizes total revenues as well as key performance measures related to coal-fired generation, which represents the majority of Midwest Generation's operations.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Operating Revenues (in millions)	\$ 384	\$ 468
Statistics		
Generation (in GWh):		
Energy only contracts	5,756	6,538
Load requirements services contracts(1)	886	1,845
Total	6,642	8,383
Aggregate plant performance:		
Equivalent availability(2)	82.7%	82.5%
Capacity factor(3)	56.3%	70.3%
Load factor(4)	68.1%	85.3%
Forced outage rate(5)	7.0%	11.8%
Average realized price/MWh:		
Energy only contracts(6)	\$ 47.77	\$ 53.16
Load requirements services contracts(7)	\$ 62.54	\$ 62.35
Capacity revenue only (in millions)	\$ 39	\$ 9
Average fuel costs/MWh	\$ 18.55	\$ 14.08

- (1) Represents two load requirements services contracts, awarded as part of an Illinois auction, with Commonwealth Edison that commenced on January 1, 2007. One contract expired in May 2008 and the remaining contract is scheduled to expire in May 2009.
- (2) The equivalent availability factor is defined as the number of MWh the coal plants are available to generate electricity divided by the product of the capacity of the coal plants (in MW) and the number of hours in the period. Equivalent availability reflects the impact of the unit's inability to achieve full load, referred to as derating, as well as outages which result in a complete unit shutdown. The coal plants are not available during periods of planned and unplanned maintenance.
- (3) The capacity factor is defined as the actual number of MWh generated by the coal plants divided by the product of the capacity of the coal plants (in MW) and the number of hours in the period.
- (4) The load factor is determined by dividing capacity factor by the equivalent availability factor.
- (5) Midwest Generation refers to unplanned maintenance as a forced outage.
- (6) The average realized energy price reflects the average price at which energy is sold into the market including the effects of hedges, real-time and day-ahead sales and PJM fees and ancillary services. It is determined by dividing (i) operating

revenue less unrealized SFAS No. 133 gains (losses) and other non-energy related revenue by (ii) generation, as shown in the table below. Revenue related to capacity sales are excluded from the calculation of average realized energy price.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Operating revenues	\$ 384	\$ 468
Less:		
Load requirements services contracts	(55)	(115)
Unrealized (gains) losses	(15)	5
Capacity and other revenues	(39)	(10)
Realized revenues	<u>\$ 275</u>	<u>\$ 348</u>
Generation (in GWh)	5,756	6,538
Average realized energy price/MWh	\$ 47.77	\$ 53.16

- (7) The average realized price reflects the contract price for sales to Commonwealth Edison under load requirements services contracts that include energy, capacity and ancillary services. It is determined by dividing (i) contract revenue less PJM operating and ancillary charges by (ii) generation.

Operating Revenues

Operating revenues decreased \$84 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008, primarily due to lower energy revenues partially offset by higher capacity revenues and unrealized gains related to hedge contracts (described below). The decrease in energy revenues was attributable to lower generation and lower average realized energy prices. For further discussion on energy prices and the decline in generation, see “Management’s Overview; Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Management’s Overview—Economic Conditions and Commodity Prices.”

Included in operating revenues were unrealized gains (losses) of \$15 million and \$(5) million for the first quarters of 2009 and 2008, respectively. Unrealized gains in 2009 were primarily due to hedge contracts that are not accounted for as cash flow hedges under SFAS No. 133 (referred to as economic hedges). Unrealized losses in 2008 were primarily due to the ineffective portion of hedge contracts at the Illinois Plants attributable to changes in the difference between energy prices at NiHub (the settlement point under forward contracts) and the energy prices at the Illinois Plants busbars (the delivery point where power generated by the Illinois Plants is delivered into the transmission system) resulting from marginal losses. For more information regarding forward market prices and unrealized gains (losses), see “Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk” and “Market Risk Exposures—Accounting for Derivative Instruments,” respectively.

Seasonal Disclosure

Due to higher electric demand resulting from warmer weather during the summer months and cold weather during the winter months, electric revenues from the Illinois Plants vary substantially on a seasonal basis. In addition, maintenance outages generally are scheduled during periods of lower projected electric demand (spring and fall) further reducing generation and increasing major maintenance costs which are recorded as an expense when incurred. Accordingly, earnings from the Illinois Plants are seasonal and have significant variability from quarter to quarter. Seasonal fluctuations may also be affected by changes in market prices. For further discussion regarding market prices, see “Market Risk Exposures—Commodity Price Risk—Energy Price Risk.”

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased \$24 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008. Operating expenses consist of fuel, gain on the sale of emission allowances, plant operations, gain from buyout of contract and sale of assets, depreciation and amortization, and

administrative and general expenses. The primary changes in the components of operating expenses are discussed below.

Fuel expenses increased \$5 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008. The increase was primarily attributable to annual NO_x emission allowance costs of \$19 million beginning in 2009, operations of mercury controls, and an increase in the cost of coal, partially offset by lower coal consumption as a result of lower generation.

Gain on buyout of contract and sale of assets in 2008 includes a \$15 million gain related to the buyout of a fuel contract in March 2008.

Other Income (Expense)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Interest and other income	\$ 1	\$ 6
Interest income from affiliates	28	29
Interest expense	<u>(17)</u>	<u>(22)</u>
Total other income (expense)	<u>\$ 12</u>	<u>\$ 13</u>

Interest and other income decreased \$5 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008, primarily due to \$6 million recorded in other income in 2008 for business interruption coverage related to insurance claims from unplanned outages.

Interest expense decreased \$5 million for the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008. The decrease is primarily due to \$6 million of interest costs in 2008 included as part of a resolution of a labor matter. Midwest Generation also had higher interest expense on long-term debt due to higher debt balances, which was offset by lower interest expense from the sale-leaseback.

Provision For Income Taxes

Midwest Generation had an effective income tax provision rate of 38% for the quarters ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. Midwest Generation's effective income tax rate varies from the federal statutory rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, and estimated benefits from a federal deduction related to qualified domestic production activities under Section 199 of the Internal Revenue Code.

In May 2009, Edison International and the Internal Revenue Service completed a settlement of federal tax disputes and affirmative claims for open tax years 1986 through 2002. The settlement includes resolution of issues pertaining to Midwest Generation, which are timing in nature.

New Accounting Pronouncements

For a discussion of new accounting pronouncements affecting Midwest Generation, see "Midwest Generation, LLC and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies—New Accounting Pronouncements."

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Introduction

The following discussion of liquidity and capital resources is organized in the following sections:

	<u>Page</u>
Midwest Generation's Liquidity	24
Consolidated Cash Flow	25
Capital Expenditures	25
Credit Facility and Other Covenants	26
Equity Distributions and Tax Payments	26
Powerton-Joliet Lease Payments	26
Credit Ratings	27
Contractual Obligations	27
Off-Balance Sheet Transactions	28
Environmental Matters and Regulations	28

For a complete discussion of these issues, read this quarterly report on Form 10-Q in conjunction with Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Midwest Generation's Liquidity

At March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had cash and cash equivalents of \$793 million and Midwest Generation had a total of \$22 million of available borrowing capacity under its \$500 million working capital facility.

The following table summarizes the status of Midwest Generation's working capital facility at March 31, 2009:

	<u>(in millions)</u>
Commitment	\$ 500
Outstanding borrowings	(475)
Outstanding letters of credit	<u>(3)</u>
Amount available	<u>\$ 22</u>

Access to the capital markets remains uncertain due to the financial market and economic conditions discussed in "Management's Overview; Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Management's Overview," and also in "Management's Overview" in Item 7 on page 26 of Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Accordingly, Midwest Generation's liquidity is currently comprised of cash on hand, cash flow generated from operations, and payments by EME under the intercompany notes issued in connection with the Powerton-Joliet facilities sale-leaseback.

Lien-backed Hedge Contracts

Midwest Generation has entered into hedge contracts directly with third parties that provide a lien on Midwest Generation's assets in lieu of margining. The hedge contracts require Midwest Generation to comply with the terms and conditions of its credit facility, including financial covenants that are described further in "—Credit Facility and Other Covenants." Furthermore, the hedge contracts include provisions relating to a change in control or material adverse effect resulting from amendments or modifications to the related credit facility. Failure of Midwest Generation to comply with these provisions would result in a termination event under the hedge contracts, enabling the counterparties to terminate and liquidate all outstanding transactions and demand immediate payment of amounts owed

to them. The aggregate fair value of hedge contracts with credit-risk related contingent features is in an asset position at March 31, 2009 and, accordingly, the contingent features described above do not currently have a liquidity exposure. Future increases in power prices could expose Midwest Generation to termination payments or additional collateral postings under the contingent features described above. Midwest Generation received collateral deposits of \$113 million from counterparties under these contracts at March 31, 2009.

Consolidated Cash Flow

At March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had cash and cash equivalents of \$793 million, compared to \$650 million at December 31, 2008. Net working capital at March 31, 2009 was \$953 million, compared to \$813 million at December 31, 2008. The increase in working capital was the result of several factors. Midwest Generation had higher cash equivalent balances partially due to an increase in collateral deposits received under hedge contracts. Midwest Generation also had an increase in fuel inventory due to lower generation and a decline in accrued liabilities. Partially offsetting these increases to working capital was a decline in receivables from EMMT due to lower energy revenues in March 2009 as compared to December 2008.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$138 million in the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008. The 2009 increase was primarily due to changes in working capital items discussed above and tax payments made during the first quarter of 2008.

Net cash used in financing activities increased \$43 million in the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008. Financing activities during the first quarter of 2008 included borrowings of \$75 million under Midwest Generation’s working capital facility and a \$35 million distribution to its shareholder.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$13 million in the first quarter of 2009, compared to the first quarter of 2008. The 2009 increase was primarily due to an increase in capital expenditures.

Capital Expenditures

At March 31, 2009, the estimated capital expenditures through 2011 by Midwest Generation were as follows:

	April through December 2009	2010	2011
	(in millions)		
Plant capital expenditures	\$ 38	\$ 96	\$ 61
Environmental expenditures	11	(a)	(a)
Total	<u>\$ 49</u>	<u>\$ 96</u>	<u>\$ 61</u>

(a) See discussion below regarding capital expenditures for environmental improvements.

Plant capital expenditures relate to non-environmental projects such as boiler components, mill steam inerting projects, generator stator rewinds, 4Kv switchgear, and main power transformer replacement.

Midwest Generation is subject to various commitments with respect to environmental compliance. Midwest Generation continues to review all technology and unit shutdown combinations, including interim and alternative compliance solutions. For more information on the current status of environmental improvements in Illinois, see “Management’s Overview; Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates—Management’s Overview—Environmental Compliance Costs and Plans.” For further discussion of environmental regulations, refer to “Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—

Environmental Matters and Regulations” on page 95 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Credit Facility and Other Covenants

Midwest Generation maintains a \$500 million working capital facility that matures in 2012, with an option to extend for up to two years. The interest rate on borrowings outstanding under this credit facility is LIBOR + 0.55%, except if average utilized commitments during a period exceed \$250 million, in which case the margin increases to 0.65%, which was the case at March 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had \$475 million of borrowings outstanding and \$3 million of letters of credit had been utilized under this working capital facility.

Midwest Generation is bound by the covenants in its credit agreement and certain covenants under the Powerton-Joliet lease documents with respect to Midwest Generation making payments under the leases. These covenants include restrictions on the ability to, among other things, incur debt, create liens on its property, merge or consolidate, sell assets, make investments, engage in transactions with affiliates, make distributions, make capital expenditures, enter into agreements restricting its ability to make distributions, engage in other lines of business, enter into swap agreements, or engage in transactions for any speculative purpose. In order for Midwest Generation to make a distribution, it must be in compliance with the covenants specified under its credit agreement, including maintaining a debt to capitalization ratio of no greater than 0.60 to 1. At March 31, 2009, the debt to capitalization ratio was 0.26 to 1.

Midwest Generation is permitted to use its working capital facility and cash on hand to provide credit support for hedging transactions related to the Illinois Plants entered into by EMMT. Utilization of this credit facility in support of these hedging transactions provides additional liquidity support for implementation of Midwest Generation’s contracting strategy for the Illinois Plants. In addition, Midwest Generation may grant liens on its property in support of hedging transactions associated with the Illinois Plants. For additional discussion, see “Market Risk Exposures—Credit Risk.”

Equity Distributions and Tax Payments

The following table summarizes the payments by Midwest Generation as equity distributions through Edison Mission Midwest Holdings and payments made pursuant to tax-allocation agreements:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Equity distributions	\$ —	\$ 35
Tax payments under tax-allocation agreements	—	50
Total payments	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 85</u>

Powerton-Joliet Lease Payments

As part of the sale-leaseback of the Powerton Station and Units 7 and 8 of the Joliet Station, Midwest Generation loaned the proceeds (in the original amount of \$1.367 billion) to EME in exchange for promissory notes in the same aggregate amount. EME’s obligations under the promissory notes payable to Midwest Generation are general corporate obligations of EME and are not contingent upon receiving distributions from its subsidiaries. There is no assurance that EME will have sufficient cash flow to meet these obligations. Furthermore, EME has guaranteed Midwest Generation’s lease obligations under these leases. If EME fails to pay under its guarantee, including payments due under the Powerton-Joliet leases in the event that Midwest Generation could not make such payments, this

would result in an event of default under the Powerton and Joliet leases. This event would have a material adverse effect on Midwest Generation.

Credit Ratings

Overview

Credit ratings for Midwest Generation, EME and EMMT, at March 31, 2009, were as follows:

	<u>Moody's Rating</u>	<u>S&P Rating</u>	<u>Fitch Rating</u>
Midwest Generation(1)	Baa3	BB+	BBB-
EME	B1	BB-	BB-
EMMT	Not Rated	BB-	Not Rated

(1) First priority senior secured rating.

On December 23, 2008, S&P assigned a negative outlook to its corporate ratings for Midwest Generation, EME and EMMT. On March 24, 2009, Moody's placed its corporate and debt ratings for Midwest Generation and EME under review for possible downgrade. Midwest Generation cannot provide assurance that the credit ratings above will remain in effect for any given period of time or that one or more of these ratings will not be lowered. Midwest Generation notes that these credit ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold securities and may be revised at any time by a rating agency.

Credit Rating of EMMT

Midwest Generation sells merchant energy and capacity and purchases its natural gas through EMMT, which currently has a below investment grade credit rating. Midwest Generation currently has cash on hand to provide credit support as needed for hedging contracts entered into by EMMT related to the Illinois Plants.

EMMT borrows under its revolving credit agreement with Midwest Generation to provide credit support for futures and forward contracts. Loans provided under this revolving credit agreement are repaid by EMMT upon the return of the funds under the terms of the related forward contracts. The amount repaid includes interest earned, if any, under margining agreements supporting such contracts. As of March 31, 2009, EMMT had no borrowings outstanding under this revolving credit agreement.

Midwest Generation anticipates that sales of its power through EMMT may require additional credit support, depending upon market conditions and the strategies adopted for the sale of this power. Changes in forward market prices and margining requirements and increases in merchant sales could further increase the need for credit support related to hedging activities. Midwest Generation is able to provide collateral to support bilateral contracts for power and fuel to the extent that any such transactions relate to its merchant energy operations. Depending on market conditions and the volume and duration of forward sales, there is no assurance that Midwest Generation will be able to provide sufficient credit support to EMMT.

Contractual Obligations

Fuel Supply Contracts

At March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had fuel purchase commitments with various third-party suppliers for the purchase of coal. Based on the contract provisions, which consist of fixed prices subject to adjustment clauses, these minimum commitments are currently estimated to aggregate \$315 million, summarized as follows: remainder of 2009—\$183 million and 2010—\$132 million.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

For a discussion of Midwest Generation's off-balance sheet transactions, refer to "Off-Balance Sheet Transactions" in Item 7 on page 50 of Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no significant developments with respect to Midwest Generation's off-balance sheet transactions that affect disclosures presented in Midwest Generation's annual report.

Environmental Matters and Regulations

For a discussion of Midwest Generation's environmental matters, refer to "Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Environmental Matters and Regulations" on page 95 of Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no significant developments with respect to environmental matters specifically affecting Midwest Generation since the filing of Midwest Generation's annual report, except as follows:

Clean Water Act—Cooling Water Standards and Regulations

In January 2007, the Second Circuit rejected the US EPA rule on cooling water intake structures and remanded it to the US EPA. Among the key provisions remanded by the court were the use of cost-benefit analysis for determining the best technology available and the use of restoration to achieve compliance with the rule. In July 2007, the US EPA suspended the requirements for cooling water intake structures, pending further rulemaking. In April 2009, the U.S. Supreme Court reversed the Second Circuit and held that the US EPA may consider, but is not required to use, cost-benefit analysis in formulating regulations under Clean Water Act Section 316(b).

MARKET RISK EXPOSURES

Introduction

Midwest Generation's primary market risk exposures are associated with the sale of electricity and capacity from, and the procurement of fuel for, its merchant power plants. These market risks arise from fluctuations in electricity, capacity and fuel prices, emission allowances, and transmission rights. Additionally, Midwest Generation's financial results can be affected by fluctuations in interest rates. Midwest Generation manages these risks in part by using derivative instruments in accordance with established policies and procedures.

This section discusses these market risk exposures under the following headings:

	<u>Page</u>
Commodity Price Risk	29
Accounting for Derivative Instruments	34
Fair Value of Derivative Instruments	34
Credit Risk	35
Interest Rate Risk	36
Regulatory Matters	36

For a complete discussion of these issues, read this quarterly report on Form 10-Q in conjunction with Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Commodity Price Risk

Introduction

Midwest Generation sells all of its energy and capacity into wholesale power markets through EMMT. EMMT enters into forward contracts for Midwest Generation's electric output in order to provide more predictable earnings and cash flow. When appropriate, EMMT manages the spread between electric prices and fuel prices through the use of forward contracts, swaps, futures, or options contracts. There is no assurance that contracts to hedge changes in market prices will be effective.

Midwest Generation's operations expose it to commodity price risk, which represents the potential loss that can be caused by a change in the market value of a particular commodity. Commodity price risks are actively monitored by a risk management committee to ensure compliance with Midwest Generation's risk management policies, through EMMT. Policies are in place which define risk management processes, and procedures exist which allow for monitoring of all commitments and positions with regular reviews by a risk management committee. Despite this, there can be no assurance that all risks have been accurately identified, measured and/or mitigated.

In addition to prevailing market prices, Midwest Generation's ability to derive profits from the sale of electricity will be affected by the cost of production, including costs incurred to comply with environmental regulations. The costs of production of the units vary and, accordingly, depending on market conditions, the amount of generation that will be sold from the units is expected to vary.

EMMT uses "gross margin at risk" to identify, measure, monitor and control Midwest Generation's overall market risk exposure with respect to hedge positions at the Illinois Plants. The use of this measure allows management to aggregate overall commodity risk, compare risk on a consistent basis and identify the risk factors. Gross margin at risk measures the potential change in value of an asset or position, in each case over a given time interval, under normal market conditions, at a given confidence level. Given the inherent limitations of this measure and reliance on a single type of risk measurement tool, EMMT supplements this approach with the use of stress testing and worst-case scenario analysis for key risk factors, as well as stop-loss triggers and counterparty credit exposure limits.

Energy Price Risk

All the energy and capacity from the Illinois Plants is sold under terms, including price and quantity, arranged by EMMT with customers through a combination of bilateral agreements (resulting from negotiations or from auctions), forward energy sales and spot market sales. As discussed further below, power generated at the Illinois Plants is generally sold into the PJM market.

Midwest Generation sells its power into PJM at spot prices based upon locational marginal pricing. Hedging transactions related to the generation of the Illinois Plants are generally entered into at the Northern Illinois Hub or the AEP/Dayton Hub, both in PJM, or may be entered into at other trading hubs, including the Cinergy Hub in the Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator (MISO). These trading hubs have been the most liquid locations for hedging purposes. For further discussion, see “—Basis Risk” below.

PJM has a short-term market, which establishes an hourly clearing price. The Illinois Plants are situated in the PJM control area and are physically connected to high-voltage transmission lines serving this market.

The following table depicts the average historical market prices for energy per megawatt-hour during the first three months of 2009 and 2008:

	24-Hour Northern Illinois Hub Historical Energy Prices(1)	
	2009	2008
January	\$ 42.10	\$ 47.09
February	33.33	54.46
March	26.74	58.58
Quarterly Average	<u>\$ 34.06</u>	<u>\$ 53.38</u>

(1) Energy prices were calculated at the Northern Illinois Hub delivery point using hourly real-time prices as published by PJM.

Forward market prices at the Northern Illinois Hub fluctuate as a result of a number of factors, including natural gas prices, transmission congestion, changes in market rules, electricity demand (which in turn is affected by weather, economic growth, and other factors), plant outages in the region, and the amount of existing and planned power plant capacity. The actual spot prices for electricity delivered by the Illinois Plants into these markets may vary materially from the forward market prices set forth in the table below.

The following table sets forth the forward market prices for energy per megawatt-hour as quoted for sales into the Northern Illinois Hub at March 31, 2009:

	<u>24-Hour Northern Illinois Hub Forward Energy Prices(1)</u>
2009	
April	\$ 25.07
May	24.31
June	27.84
July	36.59
August	31.48
September	26.83
October	27.38
November	25.07
December	28.63
2010 Calendar “strip”(2)	\$ 30.11

- (1) Energy prices were determined by obtaining broker quotes and information from other public sources relating to the Northern Illinois Hub delivery point.
- (2) Market price for energy purchases for the entire calendar year, as quoted for sales into the Northern Illinois Hub.

EMMT engages in hedging activities for the Illinois Plants to hedge the risk of future change in the price of electricity. Hedging activities for energy only contracts are typically weighted toward on-peak periods. The following table summarizes Midwest Generation’s hedge position at March 31, 2009:

	<u>2009</u>		<u>2010</u>		<u>2011</u>	
	<u>GWh</u>	<u>Average price/ MWh</u>	<u>GWh</u>	<u>Average price/ MWh</u>	<u>GWh</u>	<u>Average price/ MWh</u>
Energy Only Contracts(1)						
Northern Illinois Hub—AEP/Dayton Hub	7,953	\$ 63.19	6,555	\$ 68.68	612	\$ 76.40
Load Requirements Services Contracts(2)(3)						
Northern Illinois Hub	<u>598</u>	63.65	<u>—</u>	—	<u>—</u>	—
Total estimated GWh	<u>8,551</u>		<u>6,555</u>		<u>612</u>	

- (1) The energy only contracts include forward contracts for the sale of power and futures contracts during different periods of the year and the day. Market prices tend to be higher during on-peak periods and during summer months, although there is significant variability of power prices during different periods of time. Accordingly, the above hedge positions at March 31, 2009 are not directly comparable to the 24-hour Northern Illinois Hub prices set forth above.
- (2) Under a load requirements services contract, the amount of power sold is a portion of the retail load of the purchasing utility and thus can vary significantly with variations in that retail load. Retail load depends upon a number of factors, including the time of day, the time of the year and the utility’s number of new and continuing customers. Estimated GWh have been forecast based on historical patterns and on assumptions regarding the factors that may affect retail loads in the future. The actual load will vary from that used for the above estimate, and the amount of variation may be material.
- (3) The average price per MWh under a load requirements services contract (which is subject to a seasonal price adjustment) represents the sale of a bundled product that includes, but is not limited to, energy, transmission, capacity and ancillary services. Furthermore, as a supplier of a portion of a utility’s load, Midwest Generation will incur load-serving entity charges imposed by PJM. For these reasons, the average price per MWh under a load requirements services contract is not comparable to the sale of power under an energy only contract. The average price per MWh under a load requirements services contract represents the sale of the bundled product based on an estimated customer load profile.

In addition, Midwest Generation has entered into 9.2 billion cubic feet of natural gas futures contracts during the first quarter of 2009 to hedge the energy price risks during 2009.

Capacity Price Risk

On June 1, 2007, PJM implemented the RPM for capacity. The purpose of the RPM is to provide a long-term pricing signal for capacity resources. The RPM provides a mechanism for PJM to satisfy the region’s need for generation capacity, the cost of which is allocated to load-serving entities through a locational reliability charge.

The following table summarizes the status of capacity sales for Midwest Generation at March 31, 2009:

	<u>April 1, 2009 to May 31, 2009</u>	<u>June 1, 2009 to May 31, 2010</u>	<u>June 1, 2010 to May 31, 2011</u>	<u>June 1, 2011 to May 31, 2012</u>
Fixed Price Capacity Sales				
Through RPM Auction, Net				
MW	2,963	4,544	4,929	4,582
Price per MW-day	\$ 122.39(1)	\$ 106.36	\$ 174.29	\$ 110.00
Non-unit Specific Capacity Sales				
MW	880	715	—	—
Price per MW-day	\$ 64.35	\$ 71.46	\$ —	\$ —

(1) The original price of \$111.92 was affected by Midwest Generation’s participation in a supplemental RPM auction during the first quarter of 2008 which resulted in purchasing certain capacity amounts at a price of \$10 per MW-day, thereby reducing the aggregate forward capacity sales for this period and increasing the effective capacity price to \$122.39.

Revenues from the sale of capacity from Midwest Generation beyond the periods set forth above will depend upon the amount of capacity available and future market prices either in PJM or nearby markets if Midwest Generation has an opportunity to capture a higher value associated with those markets. Under PJM’s RPM system, the market price for capacity is generally determined by aggregate market-based supply conditions and an administratively set aggregate demand curve. Among the factors influencing the supply of capacity in any particular market are plant forced outage rates, plant closings, plant delistings (due to plants being removed as capacity resources and/or to export capacity to other markets), capacity imports from other markets, and the CONE.

Midwest Generation entered into hedge transactions in advance of the RPM auctions with counterparties that are settled through PJM. In addition, the load service requirements contracts entered into by Midwest Generation with Commonwealth Edison include energy, capacity and ancillary services (sometimes referred to as a “bundled product”). Under PJM’s business rules, Midwest Generation sells all of its available capacity (defined as unit capacity less forced outages) into the RPM and is subject to a locational reliability charge for the load under these contracts. This means that the locational reliability charge generally offsets the related amounts sold in the RPM, which Midwest Generation presents on a net basis in the table above.

Basis Risk

Sales made from the Illinois Plants in the real-time or day-ahead market receive the actual spot prices or day-ahead prices, as the case may be, at the busbars (delivery points) of the individual plants. In order to mitigate price risk from changes in spot prices at the individual plant busbars, Midwest Generation may enter into cash settled futures contracts as well as forward contracts with counterparties for energy to be delivered in future periods. Currently, a liquid market for entering into these contracts at the individual plant busbars does not exist. A liquid market does exist for settlement points at the Northern Illinois Hub and the AEP/Dayton Hub. Midwest Generation’s hedging activities

use these settlement points (and, to a lesser extent, other similar trading hubs) to enter into hedging contracts. Midwest Generation’s revenues with respect to such forward contracts include:

- sales of actual generation in the amounts covered by the forward contracts with reference to PJM spot prices at the busbar of the plant involved, plus,
- sales to third parties at the price under such hedging contracts at designated settlement points (generally the Northern Illinois Hub or AEP/Dayton Hub) less the cost of power at spot prices at the same designated settlement points.

Under PJM’s market design, locational marginal pricing, which establishes market prices at specific locations throughout PJM by considering factors including generator bids, load requirements, transmission congestion and losses, can cause the price of a specific delivery point to be higher or lower relative to other locations depending on how the point is affected by transmission constraints. Effective June 1, 2007, PJM implemented marginal losses which adjust the algorithm that calculates locational marginal prices to include a component for marginal transmission losses in addition to the component included for congestion. To the extent that, on the settlement date of a hedge contract, spot prices at the relevant busbar are lower than spot prices at the settlement point, the proceeds actually realized from the related hedge contract are effectively reduced by the difference. This is referred to as “basis risk.” During the three months ended March 31, 2009, transmission congestion in PJM has resulted in prices at the individual busbars of the Illinois Plants being lower than those at the AEP/Dayton Hub and Northern Illinois Hub by an average of 16% and 1%, respectively.

Coal and Transportation Price Risk

The Illinois Plants purchase coal primarily obtained from the Southern PRB of Wyoming. Coal purchases are made under a variety of supply agreements extending through 2010. The following table summarizes the amount of coal under contract at March 31, 2009 for the remainder of 2009 and the following year:

	<u>April through December 2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
Amount of coal under contract in millions of equivalent tons(1)	15.6	11.7

(1) The amount of coal under contract in tons is calculated based on contracted tons and applying an 8,800 Btu equivalent.

Midwest Generation is subject to price risk for purchases of coal that are not under contract. Prices of PRB coal (with 8,800 Btu per pound heat content and 0.8 pounds of SO₂ per MMBtu sulfur content) purchased for the Illinois Plants declined during 2009. The price of PRB coal decreased to \$8.75 per ton at May 1, 2009 from \$13 per ton at January 9, 2009, as reported by the Energy Information Administration. The 2009 decrease in PRB coal prices was due to market volatility, lower demand and higher levels of inventory.

Midwest Generation has contractual agreements for the transport of coal to its facilities. The primary contract is with Union Pacific Railroad (and various delivering carriers), which extends through 2011. Midwest Generation is exposed to price risk related to higher transportation rates after the expiration of its existing transportation contracts. Current transportation rates for PRB coal are higher than the existing rates under contract (transportation costs are approximately 50% of the delivered cost of PRB coal to the Illinois Plants).

Emission Allowances Price Risk

The federal Acid Rain Program requires electric generating stations to hold SO₂ allowances sufficient to cover their annual emissions. Pursuant to Illinois’ implementation of the Clean Air Interstate Rule, electric generating stations are required to hold seasonal and annual NO_x allowances beginning January 1, 2009. As part of the acquisition of the Illinois Plants, Midwest Generation

obtained the rights to the emission allowances that have been or are allocated to these facilities. Midwest Generation purchases (or sells) emission allowances based on the amounts required for actual generation in excess of (or less than) the amounts allocated under these programs. For further discussion of the Clean Air Interstate Rule, refer to “Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Environmental Matters and Regulations—Air Quality Regulation—Clean Air Interstate Rule” on page 96 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Midwest Generation is subject to price risk for purchases of emission allowances required for actual emissions greater than allowances held. The market price for emission allowances may vary significantly. Based on broker’s quotes and information from public sources, the spot price for annual NO_x allowances was \$2,125 per ton at March 31, 2009.

For a discussion of environmental regulations related to emissions, refer to “Note 9—Commitments and Contingencies—Environmental Matters and Regulations” on page 95 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Accounting for Derivative Instruments

Midwest Generation uses derivative instruments to reduce its exposure to fluctuations in the price of electricity, capacity and fuel, emission allowances and transmission rights which may impact cash flow from its power plant operations. These derivative instruments include forward sales transactions entered into on a bilateral basis with third parties, futures contracts, full requirements services contracts or load requirements services contracts and capacity transactions. SFAS No. 133 requires changes in the fair value of each derivative instrument to be recognized in earnings at the end of each accounting period unless the instrument qualifies for hedge accounting under the terms of SFAS No. 133. For derivatives that do qualify for cash flow hedge accounting, changes in their fair value are recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedged item settles and is recognized in earnings. However, the ineffective portion of a derivative that qualifies for cash flow hedge accounting is recognized currently in earnings. For further discussion of derivative instruments, see “Midwest Generation, LLC and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 3. Derivative Instruments,” and also refer to “Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities” in Item 7 on page 30 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Midwest Generation classifies unrealized gains and losses from derivative instruments as part of operating revenues. The results of derivative activities are recorded as part of cash flows from operating activities on the consolidated statements of cash flows. The following table summarizes unrealized gains (losses) for the first quarters of 2009 and 2008:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
	(in millions)	
Non-qualifying hedges	\$ 16	\$ —
Ineffective portion of cash flow hedges	(1)	(5)
Total unrealized gains (losses)	<u>\$ 15</u>	<u>\$ (5)</u>

At March 31, 2009, unrealized gains of \$13 million were recognized from non-qualifying hedge contracts or the ineffective portion of cash flow hedges related to subsequent periods (\$2 million for the remainder of 2009, \$9 million for 2010, and \$2 million for 2011).

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

Midwest Generation adopted SFAS No. 157 effective January 1, 2008. The standard established a hierarchy for fair value measurements. For further discussion of Midwest Generation’s adoption of

SFAS No. 157, see “Midwest Generation, LLC and Subsidiaries Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements—Note 2. Fair Value Measurements.”

The fair value of outstanding derivative instruments at March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$383 million and \$244 million, respectively. In assessing the fair value of Midwest Generation’s derivative instruments, EMMT uses quoted market prices and forward market prices adjusted for credit risk. The fair value of commodity price contracts takes into account quoted market prices, time value of money, volatility of the underlying commodities and other factors. The increase in fair value of commodity contracts at March 31, 2009 as compared to December 31, 2008 is attributable to a decline in the average market prices for power as compared to contracted prices at March 31, 2009, which is the valuation date. The following table summarizes the maturities and the related fair value of Midwest Generation’s commodity derivative assets and liabilities, before the impact of offsetting collateral under FIN No. 39-1, as of March 31, 2009:

	<u>Total Fair Value</u>	<u>Maturity <1 year</u>	<u>Maturity 1 to 3 years</u>	<u>Maturity 4 to 5 years</u>	<u>Maturity >5 years</u>
			(in millions)		
Prices actively quoted	\$ 8	\$ 5	\$ 3	\$ —	\$ —
Prices provided by external sources	368	238	130	—	—
Prices based on models and other valuation methods	<u>7</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Total	<u>\$ 383</u>	<u>\$ 249</u>	<u>\$ 134</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

Prices actively quoted in the preceding table include exchange-traded derivatives. Prices provided by external sources include derivatives whose fair value is based on forward market prices in active markets adjusted for nonperformance risks which would be considered Level 2 derivative positions when there are no unobservable inputs that are significant to the valuation. EMMT obtains forward market prices from traded exchanges (ICE Futures U.S. or New York Mercantile Exchange) and available broker quotes. Then, EMMT selects a primary source that best represents traded activity for each market to develop observable forward market prices in determining the fair value of these positions. Broker quotes or prices from exchanges are used to validate and corroborate the primary source. These price quotations reflect mid-market prices (average of bid and ask) and are obtained from sources that EMMT believes to provide the most liquid market for the commodity. EMMT considers broker quotes to be observable when corroborated with other information which may include a combination of prices from exchanges, other brokers, and comparison to executed trades.

Credit Risk

In conducting Midwest Generation’s hedging activities, EMMT contracts with a number of utilities, energy companies, financial institutions, and other companies, collectively referred to as counterparties. In the event a counterparty were to default on its trade obligation, Midwest Generation would be exposed to the risk of possible loss associated with re-contracting the product at a price different from the original contracted price if the nonperforming counterparty were unable to pay the resulting damages owed to Midwest Generation. Midwest Generation’s agreement with EMMT transfers the risk of non-payment of accounts receivable from counterparties to EMMT. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Midwest Generation will not be in default under the credit agreement if it fails to enforce payment from EMMT in the case of nonpayment of an account receivable from a counterparty, so long as the counterparty is rated investment grade.

The obligations of Midwest Generation under the credit agreement are secured by, among other things, an account of EMMT in which EMMT will deposit funds received from third-party counterparties for sales of energy and capacity from the Illinois Plants. For further discussion, refer to

“EMMT Agreements” in Item 7 on page 37 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

To manage credit risk, EMMT looks at the risk of a potential default by counterparties. Credit risk is measured by the loss that EMMT would expect to incur if a counterparty failed to perform pursuant to the terms of its contractual obligations. EMMT measures, monitors and mitigates credit risk to the extent possible. To mitigate credit risk from counterparties, master netting agreements are used whenever possible and counterparties may be required to pledge collateral when deemed necessary. EMMT also takes other appropriate steps to limit or lower credit exposure.

EMMT has established processes to determine and monitor the creditworthiness of counterparties. EMMT manages the credit risk of its counterparties based on credit ratings using published ratings of counterparties and other publicly disclosed information, such as financial statements, regulatory filings, and press releases, to guide it in the process of setting credit levels, risk limits and contractual arrangements, including master netting agreements. A risk management committee regularly reviews the credit quality of EMMT’s counterparties. Despite this, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be wholly successful in mitigating credit risk or that collateral pledged will be adequate.

In addition, coal for the Illinois Plants is purchased from suppliers under contracts which may be for multiple years. A number of the coal suppliers to the Illinois Plants do not currently have an investment grade credit rating and, accordingly, Midwest Generation may have limited recourse to collect damages in the event of default by a supplier. Midwest Generation seeks to mitigate this risk through diversification of its coal suppliers and through guarantees and other collateral arrangements when available. Despite this, there can be no assurance that these efforts will be successful in mitigating credit risk from coal suppliers.

Midwest Generation derives a significant source of its operating revenues from electric power sold into the PJM market by EMMT. Sales into PJM accounted for approximately 52% of Midwest Generation’s consolidated operating revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Moody’s rates PJM’s debt Aa3. PJM, an independent system operator with over 300 member companies, maintains its own credit risk policies and does not extend unsecured credit to non-investment grade companies. Any losses due to a PJM member default are shared by all other members based upon a predetermined formula.

Midwest Generation also derived a significant source of its revenues from the sale of energy, capacity and ancillary services generated at the Illinois Plants to Commonwealth Edison under load requirements services contracts. Sales under these contracts accounted for 15% of Midwest Generation’s consolidated operating revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2009. Commonwealth Edison’s senior unsecured debt ratings are BBB- by S&P and Baa3 by Moody’s.

For the first quarter of 2009, a third customer, Constellation Energy Commodities Group, Inc., accounted for 15% of Midwest Generation’s operating revenues. Sales to Constellation largely consist of energy sales under forward contracts. The contract with Constellation is guaranteed by Constellation Energy Group, Inc., which has a senior unsecured debt rating of BBB by S&P and Baa3 by Moody’s.

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate changes can affect earnings and the cost of capital for capital improvements. Midwest Generation has a \$500 million working capital facility, maturing in 2012, which exposes Midwest Generation to the risk of earnings loss resulting from changes in interest rates from any borrowings outstanding. At March 31, 2009, Midwest Generation had \$475 million of borrowings outstanding.

Regulatory Matters

For a discussion of Midwest Generation’s regulatory matters, refer to “Regulatory Matters” in Item 1 on page 10 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended

December 31, 2008. There have been no significant developments with respect to regulatory matters specifically affecting Midwest Generation since the filing of Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, except as follows:

RPM CONE

On March 26, 2009, the FERC issued an order accepting the CONE values submitted by PJM in its February 9, 2009 filing. The FERC-accepted CONE as proposed for the May 2009 RPM auction for the 2012/2013 delivery year is higher than the previously approved CONE value. In addition, the FERC approved a proposal that would set a higher net region-wide CONE value. The FERC also accepted other RPM provisions, such as the holdback of 2.5% of the reliability requirement from the Base Residual Auction to encourage Demand Side Management which could reduce the clearing price for market capacity. Several parties have requested rehearing of the order. This matter is currently pending before the FERC.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For a discussion of market risk sensitive instruments, refer to "Market Risk Exposures" in Item 7 on page 51 of Midwest Generation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. For an update to that disclosure, see "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Market Risk Exposures."

ITEM 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Midwest Generation's management, under the supervision and with the participation of the company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of Midwest Generation's disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period, Midwest Generation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in Midwest Generation's internal control over financial reporting (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the period to which this report relates that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Midwest Generation's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

For a discussion of Midwest Generation’s legal proceedings, refer to “Item 3. Legal Proceedings” on page 22 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no significant developments with respect to legal proceedings specifically affecting Midwest Generation since the filing of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For a discussion of the risks, uncertainties, and other important factors which could materially affect Midwest Generation’s business, financial condition, or future results, refer to “Item 1A. Risk Factors” on page 14 of Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The risks described in Midwest Generation’s annual report on Form 10-K and in this report are not the only risks facing Midwest Generation. Additional risks and uncertainties that are not currently known, or that are currently deemed to be immaterial, also may materially adversely affect Midwest Generation’s business, financial condition or future results.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description</u>
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
32	Statement Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

